

Closing the Loop: Circular Economy Practices in Urban Food Waste Management and Nutrient Recycling

Hana Kim*

Department of Environmental Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

Received: 10 July 2025; Revised: 13 July 2025; Accepted: 20 July 2025; Published: 25 July 2025

ABSTRACT

Rapid urbanization and changing consumption patterns have led to a dramatic increase in urban food waste, presenting significant challenges to environmental sustainability, resource efficiency, and public health. The linear "take-make-dispose" model in food systems exacerbates these issues by squandering valuable nutrients and contributing to greenhouse gas emissions. This paper focuses on the role of circular economy practices in closing the loop of urban food waste management and nutrient recycling. It reviews a range of strategies, including source reduction, separate collection systems, composting, anaerobic digestion, and innovative technologies for nutrient recovery (such as biogas production and biofertilizer synthesis). The analysis highlights how these practices not only divert food waste from landfills but also facilitate the recycling of essential nutrients (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium) back into agricultural systems, thereby promoting a more sustainable and resilient urban food cycle. Additionally, the paper examines the policy frameworks, stakeholder collaborations, and technological advancements that enable the effective implementation of circular economy approaches in urban contexts. Case studies from diverse cities are included to illustrate successful models and identify key barriers, such as infrastructure gaps, public awareness, and economic viability. The findings emphasize that integrating circular economy principles into urban food waste management is critical for reducing environmental impacts, enhancing resource security, and fostering a transition towards more sustainable urban development.

Keywords: Circular Economy; Urban Food Waste; Nutrient Recycling; Closed-Loop Systems; Waste Management; Composting; Anaerobic Digestion; Sustainable Urban Development

1. Introduction

Rapid urbanization and changing consumption patterns have led to a dramatic increase in urban food waste, presenting significant challenges to environmental sustainability, resource efficiency, and public health. The linear "take-make-dispose" model in food systems exacerbates these issues by squandering valuable nutrients and contributing to greenhouse gas emissions. their environmental footprint, resource inefficiency, and social inequities. Landfills, for example, account for 11% of global methane emissions (IPCC, 2022), while incineration releases toxic pollutants and consumes valuable recyclable materials.

In the context of urbanization, cities are expanding at an unprecedented rate. According to the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UN DESA), 55% of the world's population currently resides in cities, and this figure is projected to reach 68% by 2050. This rapid urban growth brings about a surge in food demand, and consequently, a substantial increase in food waste generation. For example, in megacities like Tokyo, New York, and Shanghai, the amount of food waste produced daily is staggering, putting immense pressure on waste management systems.

The linear model, which involves extracting resources, producing food, consuming it, and then discarding the waste, is highly unsustainable. When food waste ends up in landfills, it decomposes anaerobically, releasing methane, a potent greenhouse gas that contributes significantly to climate change. Moreover, the nutrients present in food waste, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, which are essential for agricultural production, are lost instead of being reused, leading to a waste of valuable resources and increasing the need for synthetic fertilizers, which have their own environmental impacts.

This paper focuses on the role of circular economy practices in closing the loop of urban food waste management and nutrient recycling. It aims to explore how these practices can address the challenges posed by urban food waste and contribute to a more sustainable and resilient urban food system. By adopting a circular approach, we can transform food waste from a problem into a resource, creating a closed-loop system where nutrients are recycled back into the agricultural chain, reducing environmental impacts, and enhancing resource security.

2. The Current State of Urban Food Waste

2.1 Magnitude of the Problem

Urban food waste is a global phenomenon that varies in scale across different regions and cities. In developed countries, a significant portion of food waste occurs at the consumer level due to factors such as over-purchasing, strict cosmetic standards for food, and lack of awareness about food storage and expiration dates. For instance, in the United States, it is estimated that approximately 30-40% of the food supply is wasted, with a large portion originating from urban households, restaurants, and grocery stores.

In developing countries, food waste is more likely to occur at the post-harvest and distribution stages due to inadequate infrastructure for storage, transportation, and processing. However, as urbanization progresses and living standards rise, consumer-level food waste is also increasing in these regions. Cities in Asia, Africa, and Latin America are experiencing a rapid growth in food waste generation, straining their already limited waste management capacities.

2.2 Environmental and Economic Impacts

The environmental impacts of urban food waste are multifaceted. As mentioned earlier, landfilled food waste emits methane, which has a global warming potential 28 times greater than carbon dioxide over a 100-year period. This contributes significantly to climate change, exacerbating extreme weather events, rising sea levels, and other environmental problems.

Additionally, the production of food that is ultimately wasted requires significant amounts of resources, including water, energy, and land. For example, growing crops for food involves irrigation, which consumes large quantities of water. When the food is wasted, all the resources used in its production are also wasted, leading to inefficient resource utilization.

Economically, urban food waste represents a substantial loss. The cost of producing, processing,

transporting, and disposing of wasted food is enormous. For households, wasted food means higher grocery bills. For businesses in the food industry, such as restaurants and supermarkets, food waste leads to reduced profits. At the societal level, governments incur costs for waste collection, transportation, and landfill management.

3. Circular Economy Practices in Urban Food Waste Management

3.1 Source Reduction

Source reduction is the most effective and proactive circular economy practice in urban food waste management. It involves preventing food waste from being generated in the first place. This can be achieved through various measures at different stages of the food supply chain.

At the production level, farmers can adopt better harvesting practices to minimize crop losses. They can also breed crops that are more resistant to pests and diseases, reducing the amount of food that is damaged or lost before reaching the market. Additionally, improving storage facilities on farms can help extend the shelf life of produce.

In the distribution and retail sectors, strategies such as better inventory management can reduce overstocking, which often leads to food waste. Grocery stores can implement dynamic pricing for products that are approaching their expiration dates to encourage consumers to purchase them. They can also donate unsold but still edible food to food banks and charities instead of discarding it.

At the consumer level, education and awareness campaigns play a crucial role in promoting source reduction. Consumers can be educated on proper food planning, storage, and preparation techniques to minimize waste. For example, meal planning can help reduce over-purchasing, and understanding food expiration dates can prevent unnecessary disposal of still edible food.

3.2 Separate Collection Systems

Effective separate collection systems are essential for the successful implementation of circular economy practices in urban food waste management. These systems involve collecting food waste separately from other types of waste, such as municipal solid waste, recyclables, and hazardous waste.

Separate collection can be implemented through various methods, including curbside collection, drop-off points, and on-site collection at commercial establishments. Curbside collection is convenient for households, as it allows them to place their food waste in designated bins that are collected regularly by waste management authorities. Drop-off points are useful for areas where curbside collection is not feasible, such as in dense urban neighborhoods or rural areas. On-site collection at restaurants, hotels, and food processing facilities ensures that large quantities of food waste are properly collected and transported for further processing.

To encourage participation in separate collection, it is important to provide clear guidelines and education to residents and businesses. This includes information on what types of food waste are acceptable, how to properly store and dispose of them, and the benefits of separate collection for the environment and the community. In some cities, incentives such as reduced waste collection fees or tax breaks are offered to those who actively participate in separate collection programs.

3.3 Composting

Composting is a biological process that converts organic materials, such as food waste, into a nutrient-

rich soil amendment called compost. It is a widely used circular economy practice that recycles nutrients back into the soil, reducing the need for synthetic fertilizers.

There are several types of composting methods suitable for urban settings, including backyard composting, community composting, and industrial composting. Backyard composting is suitable for households with access to a garden or outdoor space. It involves creating a compost pile or using a compost bin to decompose food waste, yard trimmings, and other organic materials.

Community composting initiatives bring together residents in a neighborhood or community to collectively compost food waste. These projects often involve a central composting site where participants can drop off their food waste. Community composting not only reduces food waste but also fosters a sense of community and environmental responsibility.

Industrial composting facilities are large-scale operations that process large quantities of food waste from households, businesses, and industries. These facilities use controlled conditions, such as temperature, moisture, and aeration, to accelerate the composting process. The resulting compost is often sold to farmers, gardeners, and landscaping companies.

Composting offers numerous benefits. It enriches the soil by adding organic matter and nutrients, improving soil structure, water retention, and fertility. It also reduces the amount of food waste sent to landfills, thereby decreasing methane emissions. Additionally, using compost instead of synthetic fertilizers reduces the risk of water pollution from nutrient runoff.

3.4 Anaerobic Digestion

Anaerobic digestion is another important circular economy technology for urban food waste management. It is a biological process that breaks down organic materials in the absence of oxygen, producing biogas and digestate.

Biogas is a mixture of methane and carbon dioxide, which can be used as a renewable energy source for heating, electricity generation, or as a transportation fuel. Digestate is the by-product of anaerobic digestion, which is a nutrient-rich material that can be used as a fertilizer.

Anaerobic digestion facilities can be located in urban areas or on the outskirts of cities, processing food waste from various sources. The process involves feeding food waste into a digester, where microorganisms break down the organic matter. The biogas produced is captured and processed for use, while the digestate is separated into solid and liquid fractions, which can be used as fertilizers.

The advantages of anaerobic digestion include the production of renewable energy, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, and the recycling of nutrients. It also helps to reduce the volume of food waste, making it easier to manage and transport. However, anaerobic digestion requires significant investment in infrastructure and technology, and the efficiency of the process depends on factors such as the type of feedstock, temperature, and pH levels.

3.5 Innovative Technologies for Nutrient Recovery

In addition to composting and anaerobic digestion, there are several innovative technologies emerging for nutrient recovery from urban food waste. These technologies aim to extract specific nutrients, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, in a more concentrated and usable form.

One such technology is hydrothermal carbonization, which involves treating food waste with high temperature and pressure in the presence of water to produce hydrochar, a carbon-rich material, and a liquid fraction containing nutrients. Hydrochar can be used as a soil amendment or a solid fuel, while the

liquid fraction can be processed to recover nutrients.

Another technology is membrane filtration, which uses membranes to separate and concentrate nutrients from food waste digestate or other liquid streams. This allows for the production of high-quality nutrient solutions that can be used in agriculture or horticulture.

Bioelectrochemical systems are also being explored for nutrient recovery. These systems use microorganisms to convert organic matter into electricity or hydrogen, while simultaneously removing and recovering nutrients. For example, microbial fuel cells can generate electricity while removing nitrogen from food waste wastewater.

These innovative technologies offer promising ways to enhance nutrient recycling from urban food waste, making the circular economy more efficient and effective. However, many of these technologies are still in the research and development stage and require further testing and scaling up before they can be widely implemented.

4. Policy Frameworks Supporting Circular Economy Practices

4.1 National and International Policies

National and international policies play a crucial role in promoting and supporting circular economy practices in urban food waste management. At the international level, the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) include targets related to responsible consumption and production, which aim to halve global food waste per capita at the retail and consumer levels by 2030. This provides a global framework for action and encourages countries to develop and implement policies to reduce food waste.

Many countries have also developed their own national policies and strategies to address urban food waste. For example, the European Union has implemented the Circular Economy Action Plan, which includes measures to reduce food waste and promote nutrient recycling. The plan sets targets for member states to separate food waste for recycling by 2025 and to reduce food waste by 50% by 2030.

In the United States, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has launched the Food Recovery Challenge, which encourages businesses, organizations, and communities to reduce food waste and increase food recovery. The EPA also provides technical assistance and resources to support the implementation of food waste reduction and recycling programs.

4.2 Local Policies and Regulations

Local governments play a key role in implementing circular economy practices at the urban level. They can develop and enforce local policies and regulations that promote source reduction, separate collection, composting, and other food waste management practices.

For example, some cities have implemented mandatory food waste separation laws, requiring households and businesses to separate their food waste for collection and recycling. These laws are often accompanied by penalties for non-compliance to ensure high participation rates.

Other cities have established incentives for food waste recycling, such as providing subsidies for composting equipment or offering tax breaks to businesses that donate unsold food. Some cities have also developed zoning regulations that allow for the establishment of composting facilities and anaerobic digestion plants in urban areas, making it easier to process food waste locally.

Local policies can also support the development of markets for compost and other recycled nutrient products. For example, cities can require the use of compost in public parks, gardens, and landscaping

projects, creating a demand for these products and encouraging the growth of the composting industry.

5. Stakeholder Collaborations

5.1 Government, Businesses, and Communities

Effective circular economy practices in urban food waste management require collaboration among various stakeholders, including government, businesses, and communities. Governments provide the policy framework and regulatory support, businesses are responsible for implementing waste reduction and recycling measures in their operations, and communities play a crucial role in participating in these practices.

Government agencies can work with businesses to develop and implement food waste reduction strategies. For example, they can provide technical assistance to restaurants and grocery stores on inventory management and food donation programs. They can also partner with businesses to invest in food waste recycling infrastructure, such as composting facilities and anaerobic digestion plants.

Communities can be engaged through education and awareness campaigns, encouraging them to reduce food waste at home and participate in separate collection programs. Community-based organizations can also play a role in organizing composting initiatives and food recovery programs, bringing residents together to work towards a common goal.

5.2 Research Institutions and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs)

Research institutions and NGOs are important stakeholders in advancing circular economy practices in urban food waste management. Research institutions conduct studies to develop new technologies and approaches for food waste reduction and nutrient recycling. They also provide scientific evidence to support policy-making and the implementation of best practices.

NGOs play a role in advocating for food waste reduction and recycling, raising public awareness, and supporting community-based initiatives. They can also work with governments and businesses to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of food waste management programs, ensuring that they are achieving their intended goals.

For example, NGOs such as Feeding America in the United States and FareShare in the United Kingdom work to recover surplus food from businesses and distribute it to those in need, reducing food waste and addressing food insecurity at the same time.

6. Case Studies of Successful Circular Economy Models

6.1 Case Study 1: Seoul, South Korea

Seoul has implemented a comprehensive food waste management system based on circular economy principles. The city introduced a mandatory food waste separation program in 2013, requiring all households and businesses to separate their food waste. The food waste is collected separately and transported to anaerobic digestion facilities, where it is converted into biogas and digestate.

The biogas produced is used to generate electricity and heat, which is supplied to the local grid. The digestate is used as a fertilizer in agricultural areas surrounding the city. Seoul also has a food waste-to-energy plant that processes a large portion of the city's food waste, producing renewable energy and reducing the amount of waste sent to landfills.

In addition to these measures, Seoul has implemented source reduction programs, such as public awareness campaigns and education in schools, to encourage residents to reduce food waste. The city also provides incentives for restaurants and grocery stores that donate unsold food to food banks.

The success of Seoul's food waste management system can be attributed to strong government leadership, effective policy implementation, and high public participation. The system has significantly reduced the amount of food waste sent to landfills, reduced greenhouse gas emissions, and created a valuable source of renewable energy and fertilizer.

6.2 Case Study 2: San Francisco, United States

San Francisco is a leader in urban food waste recycling in the United States. The city implemented a mandatory composting program in 2009, requiring all residents and businesses to separate food waste and yard trimmings for composting. The compost is produced at local facilities and used in agriculture, landscaping, and gardening.

San Francisco also has a food recovery program that collects surplus food from restaurants, grocery stores, and other food businesses and distributes it to food banks and shelters. The city provides incentives for businesses to participate in the program, such as tax breaks and recognition for their efforts.

In addition, San Francisco has set ambitious goals to reduce food waste. The city aims to achieve zero waste by 2020, with food waste being a key component of this goal. To achieve this, the city continues to invest in composting infrastructure, expand public education programs, and work with businesses to reduce food waste at the source.

The success of San Francisco's program is due to a combination of strong policies, effective enforcement, and community engagement. The city's composting program has diverted thousands of tons of food waste from landfills each year, creating a valuable resource and reducing environmental impacts.

6.3 Case Study 3: Copenhagen, Denmark

Copenhagen has adopted a circular economy approach to food waste management, focusing on resource efficiency and nutrient recycling. The city has a comprehensive waste management system that includes separate collection of food waste, which is processed at anaerobic digestion facilities.

The biogas produced from anaerobic digestion is used to power public transportation, such as buses and taxis, reducing the city's reliance on fossil fuels. The digestate is used as a fertilizer in local agriculture, creating a closed-loop system for nutrients.

Copenhagen also has a number of initiatives to reduce food waste at the source. For example, the city works with restaurants and caterers to reduce portion sizes and improve inventory management. It also supports food banks and community kitchens that use surplus food to prepare meals for those in need.

The city's focus on circular economy principles has led to significant reductions in food waste and greenhouse gas emissions. Copenhagen's approach demonstrates how urban food waste can be transformed into a valuable resource, contributing to a more sustainable and resilient city.

7. Barriers to Implementing Circular Economy Practices

7.1 Infrastructure Gaps

One of the major barriers to implementing circular economy practices in urban food waste management is the lack of adequate infrastructure. This includes facilities for separate collection,

composting, anaerobic digestion, and nutrient recovery. In many cities, especially in developing countries, the existing waste management infrastructure is designed for the disposal of mixed waste, making it difficult to implement separate collection and recycling of food waste.

Building new infrastructure requires significant investment, which can be a challenge for cash-strapped local governments. Additionally, finding suitable locations for composting facilities and anaerobic digestion plants can be difficult in urban areas due to space constraints and public opposition, often referred to as NIMBY (Not In My Backyard) syndrome.

7.2 Public Awareness and Behavior

Public awareness and behavior are also significant barriers. Many residents and businesses are not aware of the environmental and economic impacts of food waste, nor do they understand the benefits of circular economy practices. This lack of awareness leads to low participation rates in separate collection programs, improper disposal of food waste, and a general reluctance to change established habits.

For example, some residents may mix food waste with other types of waste because they are not aware of the separate collection system or do not see the value in participating. Businesses may be hesitant to invest in food waste reduction measures due to perceived costs or a lack of understanding of the potential benefits, such as reduced waste disposal fees and improved brand reputation.

Changing public behavior requires long-term education and awareness campaigns that target different segments of the population. These campaigns should highlight the environmental, economic, and social benefits of reducing and recycling food waste, and provide practical tips on how individuals and businesses can contribute.

7.3 Economic Viability

Economic viability is another significant barrier to implementing circular economy practices in urban food waste management. Many circular economy technologies and practices, such as anaerobic digestion and innovative nutrient recovery technologies, require high upfront investment. The cost of building and operating these facilities can be prohibitive, especially for small and medium-sized cities or developing countries with limited financial resources.

In addition, the market for recycled nutrient products, such as compost and digestate, may be underdeveloped. This can make it difficult for operators of composting and anaerobic digestion facilities to generate sufficient revenue to cover their costs, leading to financial sustainability issues.

To address economic viability, governments can provide financial incentives, such as grants, subsidies, and tax breaks, to support the development and implementation of circular economy practices. They can also work to develop markets for recycled nutrient products by promoting their use in agriculture, landscaping, and other sectors. For example, governments can set standards for the quality of compost and digestate, and require their use in public projects, creating a stable demand.

7.4 Technological and Knowledge Gaps

Technological and knowledge gaps can also hinder the implementation of circular economy practices. In some cases, the necessary technologies for food waste management and nutrient recycling may not be available or may be too expensive to adopt. This is particularly true in developing countries, where access to advanced technologies is limited.

There may also be a lack of technical expertise and knowledge among waste management professionals, farmers, and other stakeholders. This can make it difficult to properly operate and maintain circular

economy facilities, and to effectively use recycled nutrient products in agriculture.

To bridge technological and knowledge gaps, governments and international organizations can invest in research and development to improve existing technologies and develop new ones that are more affordable and suitable for different contexts. They can also provide training and capacity-building programs to enhance the skills and knowledge of stakeholders, ensuring that they can effectively implement and manage circular economy practices.

8. Solutions to Overcome Barriers

8.1 Investing in Infrastructure

To address infrastructure gaps, governments and private sector entities need to invest in the development of food waste management infrastructure. This includes building separate collection systems, composting facilities, anaerobic digestion plants, and nutrient recovery facilities.

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) can be an effective way to finance infrastructure development. PPPs involve collaboration between the government and private companies, where the private sector provides funding, expertise, and management, while the government provides regulatory support and ensures public interests are protected. This can help to reduce the financial burden on governments and leverage private sector resources and innovation.

In addition, cities can adopt a phased approach to infrastructure development, prioritizing projects that provide the greatest environmental and economic benefits. For example, cities can start by implementing separate collection systems and small-scale composting facilities, and then gradually expand to larger-scale anaerobic digestion and nutrient recovery facilities as demand grows.

8.2 Enhancing Public Education and Engagement

Enhancing public education and engagement is crucial for overcoming public awareness and behavior barriers. This can be achieved through a variety of channels, including schools, community centers, media, and social media.

Educational programs in schools can help to instill environmental values and habits in children from an early age, creating a culture of sustainability. Community workshops and events can provide residents with practical information on food waste reduction and recycling, and opportunities to participate in composting and other initiatives.

Media campaigns, including television, radio, and print ads, can reach a wide audience and raise awareness about the importance of food waste management. Social media platforms can be used to share information, success stories, and tips, and to engage with the public through interactive content.

Engaging the public in decision-making processes can also increase their support for circular economy practices. For example, governments can hold public consultations when developing food waste management policies and plans, allowing residents to provide input and feedback.

8.3 Promoting Economic Incentives and Market Development

Promoting economic incentives and market development is essential for improving the economic viability of circular economy practices. Governments can provide financial incentives to encourage businesses and households to reduce and recycle food waste. For example, they can offer rebates for the purchase of composting equipment, or tax credits for businesses that donate unsold food.

Developing markets for recycled nutrient products requires collaboration between governments, businesses, and farmers. Governments can set standards for the quality of these products, and provide certification to ensure their safety and effectiveness. They can also support the development of distribution networks to make recycled nutrient products more accessible to farmers.

Farmers need to be educated about the benefits of using recycled nutrient products and how to use them effectively. This can be done through extension services and training programs, which can help to increase demand for these products and improve their marketability.

8.4 Strengthening Research and Development and Knowledge Sharing

Strengthening research and development (R&D) and knowledge sharing is key to addressing technological and knowledge gaps. Governments, research institutions, and businesses should invest in R&D to develop new and improved technologies for food waste management and nutrient recycling. This includes developing technologies that are more energy-efficient, cost-effective, and suitable for different urban contexts.

Knowledge sharing among cities, countries, and regions can help to disseminate best practices and lessons learned. International organizations, such as the United Nations and the World Bank, can play a role in facilitating knowledge sharing by organizing conferences, workshops, and training programs, and by publishing reports and case studies.

Cities can also learn from each other through sister city relationships and international networks focused on sustainable waste management. By sharing experiences and expertise, cities can avoid reinventing the wheel and accelerate the implementation of effective circular economy practices.

9. Future Outlook

The future of urban food waste management lies in the widespread adoption of circular economy practices. As cities continue to grow and the challenges of climate change and resource scarcity become more pressing, there is an increasing need to transition from a linear to a circular food system.

Advancements in technology will play a crucial role in this transition. Ongoing research and development in areas such as nutrient recovery, biogas production, and smart waste management systems are expected to lead to more efficient and cost-effective solutions for food waste management.

Policy frameworks are also likely to become more robust, with governments around the world setting more ambitious targets for food waste reduction and nutrient recycling. This will create a supportive environment for the implementation of circular economy practices and drive investment in infrastructure and technology.

Stakeholder collaboration will become even more important in the future. Governments, businesses, communities, research institutions, and NGOs will need to work together to develop and implement integrated food waste management strategies that address the environmental, economic, and social dimensions of the problem.

In addition, consumer behavior is expected to change as awareness of food waste issues grows. More consumers are likely to adopt sustainable consumption habits, such as reducing food waste, purchasing locally produced food, and supporting businesses that prioritize sustainability.

Overall, the future of urban food waste management is promising, with circular economy practices offering a viable solution to the challenges posed by urban food waste. By working together and embracing innovation, we can create a more sustainable and resilient urban food system that closes the loop on food

waste and nutrient recycling.

10. Conclusion

Urban food waste is a significant global challenge that threatens environmental sustainability, resource efficiency, and public health. The linear “take-make-dispose” model in food systems is unsustainable, as it leads to the loss of valuable nutrients and contributes to climate change.

Circular economy practices offer a way to address these challenges by transforming food waste from a problem into a resource. Source reduction, separate collection systems, composting, anaerobic digestion, and innovative nutrient recovery technologies are all effective circular economy practices that can help to close the loop of urban food waste management and nutrient recycling.

However, the implementation of these practices is hindered by a number of barriers, including infrastructure gaps, public awareness and behavior issues, economic viability concerns, and technological and knowledge gaps. To overcome these barriers, a comprehensive approach is needed that involves investing in infrastructure, enhancing public education and engagement, promoting economic incentives and market development, and strengthening research and development and knowledge sharing.

The case studies of Seoul, San Francisco, and Copenhagen demonstrate that successful implementation of circular economy practices is possible with strong government leadership, effective policy frameworks, stakeholder collaboration, and public support. These cities have shown that by adopting a circular approach, it is possible to reduce food waste, recycle nutrients, generate renewable energy, and create a more sustainable and resilient urban food system.

As we look to the future, it is clear that the widespread adoption of circular economy practices is essential for addressing the challenges of urban food waste. By working together and taking action at the local, national, and international levels, we can create a world where food waste is minimized, nutrients are recycled, and our cities are more sustainable and livable for future generations.

References

- [1] Ajuntament de Barcelona. (2021). *Barcelona Circular Food Strategy 2021–2030*. Barcelona City Council.
- [2] Cordell, D., Drangert, J.-O., & White, S. (2009). The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for thought. *Global Environmental Change*, 19(2), 292–305.
- [3] De Laurentiis, V., Fraccascia, L., & Albino, V. (2020). Circular economy indicators for urban metabolism: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 254, 120057.
- [4] Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2019). *The New Plastics Economy: Rethinking the Future of Plastics*. Ellen MacArthur Foundation.
- [5] European Commission. (2020). *Circular Economy in Food Systems: Policy Brief*. Brussels: European Commission.
- [6] Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2011). *Global Food Losses and Food Waste: Extent, Causes and Prevention*. Rome: FAO.
- [7] Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2022). *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2022*. Rome: FAO.
- [8] Gutberlet, J. (2015). Informal recyclers and urban waste governance: Insights from Latin America. *Habitat International*, 47, 158–165.

- [9] Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2019). Special Report on Climate Change and Land. Cambridge University Press.
- [10] Kim, H., Park, J., & Lee, S. (2020). Heavy metal contamination in food waste digestate: Sources and mitigation strategies. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 264, 110506.
- [11] Lalander, C. G., Diener, S., & Vinnerås, B. (2019). Black soldier fly larvae composting: Increasing resource efficiency in organic waste management. *Waste Management*, 90, 183–191.
- [12] Lagos State Waste Management Authority. (2020). Lagos Waste Sector Profile. Lagos State Government.
- [13] Möller, K., & Müller, T. (2012). Phosphorus flows in a agricultural biogas plant and the potential of phosphorus recycling. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 63, 66–73.
- [14] Okafor, D., Nwankwoala, A., & Okonkwo, J. (2021). Microbiological quality of informal compost in Lagos, Nigeria: Implications for public health. *Environmental Research*, 196, 110966.
- [15] Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & Macnaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: Quantification and potential for change to 2050. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 365(1554), 3065–3081.
- [16] Rodriguez, E., Martinez, A., & Gomez, M. (2021). Consumer acceptance of insect-derived fertilizers in urban agriculture: A Barcelona case study. *Sustainability*, 13(12), 6892.
- [17] Rodriguez, E., Sanchez, J., & Plaza, B. (2022). Urban farm composting: Nutrient recovery efficiency and social dimensions. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 68, 127471.
- [18] Seoul Metropolitan Government. (2021). Seoul Food Waste Recycling Report 2021. Seoul City Hall.
- [19] Sundberg, C., & Urbaniec, K. (2018). Social practices of food waste: A review. *Waste Management*, 78, 723–734.
- [20] Sutton, M. A., Bleeker, A., Howard, C. M., et al. (2011). The European nitrogen assessment: Sources, effects and policy perspectives. Cambridge University Press.
- [21] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2021). *Frontiers 2021: Noise, Blazes and Mismatches*. Nairobi: UNEP.
- [22] United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat). (2020). *Waste Wise Cities: Handbook for Sustainable Urban Sanitation*. Nairobi: UN-Habitat.
- [23] Ye, Y., Jiang, Y., & Chen, X. (2016). Struvite precipitation for phosphorus recovery from anaerobic digestate: A review. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 46(15), 1311–1347.