SCIENTIFIC Publishing Limited

**Smart Waste and Sustainable Systems**
https://ojs.ukscip.com/index.php/swas

*Article*

# Smart Waste Management in Rapidly Urbanizing Emerging Economies: Technological Adaptation, Institutional Barriers, and Community-Led Innovations

**Carlos Mendez***
Faculty of Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

Received:15May 2025; Revised: 28 May 2025; Accepted: 6 June 2025; Published: 12 June 2025

**ABSTRACT**

Emerging economies are experiencing unprecedented urbanization, with 60% of the global urban population growth projected to occur in Africa and Asia by 2050. This growth has outpaced waste management infrastructure, leading to informal dumping, public health crises, and resource loss. Smart Waste Management (SWM) offers potential solutions, but its implementation in low- and middle-income contexts is hindered by technological mismatch, governance gaps, and limited community engagement. This study presents a comparative analysis of SWM initiatives in three rapidly urbanizing cities—Lagos (Nigeria), Santiago (Chile), and Mumbai (India)—spanning 2018–2023. Using mixed methods including longitudinal case studies, participatory action research, and life cycle costing, we evaluate: (1) the adaptation of SWM technologies to local resource constraints; (2) institutional barriers to scaling, including policy fragmentation and funding mechanisms; (3) the role of community-based organizations (CBOs) and informal waste workers in co-designing solutions; and (4) environmental and socio-economic impacts over a 5-year horizon. Findings reveal that contextually adapted SWM—combining low-cost sensors, mobile-based monitoring, and informal sector integration—reduces waste collection inefficiencies by 40–55% and greenhouse gas emissions by 22–38% compared to conventional systems. However, success depends on tailored policy frameworks, micro-financing models for small-scale operators, and digital literacy programs. The study proposes a "Modular SWM Framework" that balances technological innovation with local capacities, emphasizing incremental scaling and inclusive governance. These insights contribute to filling the knowledge gap in SWM implementation strategies for emerging economies, where urbanization rates outpace institutional development.

*Keywords:* smart waste management; emerging economies; urbanization; informal sector; technological adaptation; institutional barriers; community engagement

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 The Urbanization Paradox in Emerging Economies

Emerging economies are at the epicenter of global urbanization. By 2050, cities in Africa and Asia will absorb over 1.7 billion new residents, with urban populations in Nigeria, India, and Chile projected to grow by 140%, 55%, and 30% respectively (UN-Habitat, 2023). This rapid expansion creates a paradox: urbanization drives economic growth but simultaneously overwhelms basic services, particularly waste management. Lagos, Mumbai, and Santiago exemplify this challenge:

Lagos generates 13,000 tons of municipal solid waste (MSW) daily, but only 40% is formally collected; the rest accumulates in drains, wetlands, and informal dumps (Lagos State Waste Management Authority [LAWMA], 2022).

Mumbai's waste generation exceeds 11,000 tons/day, with 60% dumped at the Deonar landfill—one of Asia's largest, now operating 30 years beyond capacity (BMC, 2021).

Santiago, despite higher collection rates (85%), faces rising e-waste (12,000 tons/year) and contamination in recycling streams (42% of sorted waste is impure; Ministry of Environment Chile [MMA], 2023).

Informal waste management dominates in these contexts. In Lagos, over 15,000 "waste pickers" (locally called "baban bola") collect recyclables, contributing 20–30% of material recovery but operating without legal recognition (Okafor et al., 2020). Similarly, Mumbai's 100,000+ waste pickers form a parallel economy generating $120 million annually but remain excluded from formal systems (Sharma & Joshi, 2019).

## 1.2 The Promise and Pitfalls of SWM in Emerging Economies

Global discourse positions Smart Waste Management (SWM) as a panacea for urban waste crises. Defined as the integration of digital technologies (IoT sensors, mobile apps, AI) with operational processes to optimize collection, processing, and resource recovery (Gavriilidis et al., 2020), SWM has demonstrated success in high-income cities. For example, Seoul's IoT-enabled bins reduced collection costs by 35% (Kim et al., 2021), while Singapore's AI routing cut fuel use by 40% (Tan et al., 2022).

However, translating these models to emerging economies faces unique challenges:

Technological Mismatch: High-cost sensors and centralized data platforms are unaffordable for cash-strapped municipalities. Lagos's 2019 attempt to deploy European-made IoT bins failed due to 60% device failure in dusty, humid conditions (LAWMA, 2020).

Institutional Fragmentation: Mumbai's waste management involves 15+ overlapping agencies, creating coordination gaps that stalled a 2020 SWM pilot (BMC, 2022).

Digital Divides: 45% of Lagos residents lack smartphone access, limiting app-based participation (National Bureau of Statistics Nigeria, 2021).

Informal Sector Exclusion: SWM pilots in Santiago (2018) displaced 300 waste pickers, triggering protests and project abandonment (Mendez & Torres, 2020).

These challenges highlight a critical gap: SWM research has focused on high-income contexts, with limited attention to how technologies must adapt to resource constraints, institutional realities, and existing informal systems in emerging economies (Kollikkathara et al., 2022).

## 1.3 Research Objectives and Scope

This study addresses this gap through a comparative analysis of SWM initiatives in Lagos, Santiago, and

Mumbai. These cities represent diverse emerging economy contexts:

Lagos (Nigeria): Low-income, high informality, limited digital infrastructure.

Santiago (Chile): Upper-middle-income, moderate informality, stronger institutional capacity.

Mumbai (India): Lower-middle-income, high informality, mixed digital access.

Our research objectives are:

(1) To identify how SWM technologies are adapted to local resource constraints (e.g., low-cost sensors, mobile-based solutions).

(2) To map institutional barriers to scaling SWM, including policy frameworks, funding mechanisms, and inter-agency coordination.

(3) To evaluate the role of CBOs and informal waste workers in co-designing and implementing SWM.

(4) To assess long-term (5-year) environmental impacts (emissions, resource recovery) and socio-economic outcomes (livelihoods, public health).

The study focuses on MSW (excluding hazardous waste) and analyzes three SWM components: (1) low-cost monitoring systems; (2) community-based sorting initiatives; and (3) hybrid formal-informal collection models. This scope ensures relevance to resource-constrained contexts where "leapfrogging" to advanced technologies is impractical.

## 2. Theoretical Framework

### 2.1 Technological Adaptation Theory

SWM implementation in emerging economies requires moving beyond "technology transfer" to "technological adaptation"—modifying innovations to fit local conditions (Rogers, 2003). This involves:

Resource Appropriateness: Using locally available materials (e.g., repurposed mobile phones as sensors in Lagos).

Incremental Scaling: Piloting with 10–15% of households before city-wide rollout (observed in Santiago's 2021 initiative).

User-Centered Design: Involving end-users (waste workers, residents) in modifying technologies (e.g., Mumbai's CBO-led app customization).

### 2.2 Institutional Collective Action Framework

Institutional barriers to SWM stem from fragmented governance. The Institutional Collective Action (ICA) framework (Feiock, 2013) helps identify:

Policy Fragmentation: Overlapping mandates (e.g., Mumbai's municipal corporations vs. state pollution control boards).

Transaction Costs: High costs of coordinating between agencies (estimated at 30% of SWM budgets in Lagos).

Capacity Gaps: Limited technical skills for data analysis (only 15% of Lagos waste officials trained in SWM analytics; LAWMA, 2023).

### 2.3 Social Practice Theory

Community engagement in SWM is shaped by daily practices and social norms, not just individual attitudes (Shove et al., 2012). For example:

·In Lagos, waste sorting is linked to communal responsibility; 70% of households participate in CBO-led programs (Okafor, 2021).

·In Mumbai, caste dynamics influence waste work; Dalit communities dominate informal recycling, creating barriers to formal integration (Sharma, 2020).

# 3. Methodology

## 3.1 Study Sites and Case Selection

We selected three SWM initiatives representing different stages of implementation:

### 3.1.1 Lagos, Nigeria: "WasteWise Lagos" (2019–2023)

A CBO-led project in the Oshodi district (population: 350,000) combining:

Low-cost sensors (₦5,000/$12 each) made from repurposed car parts to monitor bin fill levels.

SMS-based alerts for waste collectors (baban bola) and residents.

Community sorting hubs managed by women's cooperatives.

### 3.1.2 Santiago, Chile: "SmartRecicla" (2018–2023)

A municipal initiative in Puente Alto (population: 780,000) featuring:

Solar-powered IoT bins (cost: $200 each) with 3G connectivity.

AI routing for collection trucks (adapted for Santiago's hilly terrain).

Partnerships with informal waste cooperatives (Cooperativa de Recicladores de Santiago).

### 3.1.3 Mumbai, India: "Digital Dabbawala" (2020–2023)

A public-private partnership in Dharavi (population: 700,000) integrating:

Mobile app ("Swachh Dharavi") for tracking waste pickers' collections.

Blockchain-based incentives (digital tokens redeemable for groceries).

Micro-recycling units operated by local CBOs.

## 3.2 Data Collection Methods

### 3.2.1 Longitudinal Case Studies

Document Analysis: 200+ policy documents, project reports, and media articles (2018–2023).

Key Informant Interviews: 120 stakeholders (40 per city) including municipal officials, CBO leaders, informal workers, and technology providers, conducted annually (2019–2023).

Participatory Observation: Research team embedded in waste collection processes (12 weeks per city) to document daily operations.

### 3.2.2 Quantitative Surveys

Households: 3,000 surveys (1,000 per city) on SWM awareness, participation, and satisfaction (baseline: 2019; endline: 2023).

Waste Workers: 300 surveys (100 per city) on income changes, working conditions, and technology adoption.

### 3.2.3 Environmental and Economic Assessments

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): Per ISO 14040 standards, comparing greenhouse gas emissions, water use, and resource recovery between SWM and conventional systems.

Life Cycle Costing (LCC): 5-year analysis including capital costs, maintenance, and operational expenses, with sensitivity analysis for inflation and currency fluctuations.

### 3.3 Data Analysis

Qualitative Data: Thematic analysis using NVivo 12, with coding focused on technological adaptation, institutional barriers, and community dynamics.

Quantitative Data: Descriptive statistics (SPSS 28) and regression analysis to identify factors correlated with SWM success (e.g., policy support, CBO participation).

Triangulation: Integration of survey, interview, and observational data to validate findings across sources.

## 4. Findings

### 4.1 Technological Adaptation: From High-Tech to Context-Appropriate Solutions

#### 4.1.1 Hardware Modifications

Lagos: WasteWise's repurposed sensors had a 75% success rate (vs. 30% for imported IoT bins), surviving dust and power outages by using 12V car batteries. Local mechanics were trained to repair them, reducing maintenance costs by 60% (Okafor et al., 2023).

Santiago: SmartRecicla's solar-powered bins required adaptation—original European models overheated in Chile's 35°C summers; adding heat shields improved durability by 45% (Mendez et al., 2022).

Mumbai: The Swachh Dharavi app was modified for low-end smartphones (1GB RAM), with offline data storage to handle Mumbai's erratic internet. Voice commands were added for illiterate users, increasing adoption from 30% to 70% (Sharma et al., 2023).

#### 4.1.2 Software and Data Systems

Lagos: SMS-based alerts (vs. apps) proved critical—only 25% of households owned smartphones, but 90% had basic mobile phones. Alerts reduced missed collections by 55% (field observations, 2023).

Santiago: AI routing algorithms were retrained using local traffic data (e.g., avoiding rush-hour congestion on Autopista Central), cutting collection time by 28% (MMA, 2023).

Mumbai: Blockchain tokens were 兑 换 able at local kirana stores (small groceries), addressing low bank account penetration (35% in Dharavi). This increased waste picker participation by 60% (Sharma & Patel, 2022).

### 4.2 Institutional Barriers and Enablers

#### 4.2.1 Policy and Regulatory Gaps

Lagos: Nigeria's 2004 National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) Act does not recognize informal waste workers, creating legal ambiguity. WasteWise operated in a "regulatory gray zone" until 2022, when Lagos State amended its waste management bylaws (LAWMA, 2022).

Santiago: Chile's 2018 Waste Management Law mandates 50% recycling by 2025, providing clear targets for SmartRecicla. However, funding on municipal budgets (cut by 15% during 2020–2021 COVID recession) delayed expansion (Mendez & Gomez, 2021).

Mumbai: India's 2016 Solid Waste Management Rules require integration of informal workers but lack enforcement mechanisms. Dabbawala's blockchain incentives faced resistance from tax authorities unsure how to classify digital tokens (BMC, 2023).

#### 4.2.2 Funding Mechanisms

Lagos: WasteWise relied on micro-grants (\(5,000–\)15,000) from NGOs and crowdfunding, limiting scale. A 2023 pilot of "pay-as-you-throw" (₦50/$0.12 per kg) in 500 households showed 80% willingness to pay (surveys, 2023).

Santiago: SmartRecicla used a public-private partnership (PPP) with a local tech firm (Desafío Latam), which funded 40% of costs in exchange for data access. This model reduced municipal burden but raised privacy concerns (MMA, 2022).

Mumbai: Dabbawala secured impact investment ($200,000) from a social venture fund, with repayment tied to recycling revenue. This "revenue-sharing" model proved sustainable, with 12% annual returns (Sharma et al., 2023).

#### 4.2.3 Capacity Building

Lagos: Only 20% of LAWMA staff had digital literacy; a 2021 training program increased this to 65%, improving data-driven decision-making (e.g., adjusting collection routes based on sensor data) (Okafor, 2022).

Santiago: Engineers from Universidad de Chile collaborated with SmartRecicla to adapt AI algorithms, avoiding reliance on foreign consultants (cost savings: $150,000/year) (Mendez et al., 2023).

Mumbai: Waste pickers received 40 hours of digital training; 85% could operate the Swachh Dharavi app independently by 2023 (field observations).

### 4.3 Community Engagement and Informal Sector Integration

#### 4.3.1 CBO-Led Implementation

Lagos: Women's cooperatives managed sorting hubs, increasing female participation in SWM from 15% to 55%. Their local knowledge (e.g., identifying households with large waste generation) improved collection efficiency by 30% (Okafor & Nwosu, 2022).

Santiago: Cooperativa de Recicladoresde Santiago co-designed SmartRecicla's collection schedules, ensuring alignment with their existing routes. This reduced conflicts over recyclables, a common issue in previous top-down initiatives (Mendez & Torres, 2021).

Mumbai: Dharavi's CBOs (e.g., Dharavi Bachao Andolan) conducted door-to-door awareness campaigns, leveraging trust built through decades of community work. This increased household sorting compliance from 25% to 70% (Sharma, 2023).

#### 4.3.2 Livelihood Impacts on Informal Workers

Lagos: Baban bola using WasteWise's SMS alerts reported 45% higher daily collections, increasing monthly income from ₦25,000 to ₦36,250 (\(60 to \)87). Legal recognition (post-2022 bylaw change) allowed them to access microloans for bicycle upgrades (Okafor et al., 2023).

Santiago: Waste pickers integrated into SmartRecicla received formal contracts, health insurance, and 15% higher wages. However, 20% reported reduced autonomy, as AI routing dictated their schedules (Mendez et al., 2022).

Mumbai: Digital Dabbawala's blockchain tokens stabilized income for waste pickers, who previously faced price fluctuations in recyclables. Average monthly earnings rose from ₹8,000 to ₹11,200 (\(96 to \)134) (Sharma & Patel, 2023).

#### 4.3.3 Social Norms and Behavior Change

Lagos: Community workshops framed waste sorting as a "pride in Oshodi" initiative, linking it to neighborhood reputation. This shifted behavior more effectively than monetary incentives (surveys, 2023).

Santiago: SmartRecicla's public dashboards displaying recycling rates fostered competition between neighborhoods, increasing participation by 22% (MMA, 2023).

Mumbai: Religious leaders endorsed the Swachh Dharavi app during weekly sermons, addressing cultural barriers to technology adoption (field observations, 2022).

## 4.4 Environmental and Economic Outcomes

### 4.4.1 Environmental Impacts

Greenhouse Gas Emissions: SWM reduced emissions by 22–38% (LCA results, Table 1). Lagos saw the largest reduction (38%) due to reduced landfill dumping; Santiago's 28% reduction stemmed from fuel savings via AI routing.

Table 1: Environmental Impacts of SWM vs. Conventional Systems (per ton of MSW)

| Impact Metric | | Greenhouse Gas Emissions (kg $CO_2$eq) | Reduction (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lagos | Conventional | 920 | |
| | SWM | 570 | |
| Santiago | Conventional | 850 | |
| | SWM | 610 | |
| Mumbai | Conventional | 880 | |
| | SWM | 685 | |

Resource Recovery: Recycling rates increased by 18–40%. Mumbai's e-waste recovery jumped from 15% to 55% with micro-recycling units; Lagos's plastic recycling rose from 8% to 26% (Okafor & Sharma, 2023).

Public Health: Reduced dumping in Lagos lowered mosquito breeding sites by 60%, with a 25% drop in malaria cases reported by local clinics (2023 data).

### 4.4.2 Economic Viability

Cost Savings: SWM reduced operational costs by 30–50% (LCC analysis). Santiago saved $1.2 million/year on fuel; Lagos cut labor costs by 35% through optimized routes.

Revenue Generation: Recyclables generated \(300,000/year for Lagos's women's cooperatives; Mumbai's micro-recycling units earned \)250,000/year from e-waste (Sharma et al., 2023).

Payback Periods: Lagos (3.5 years), Santiago (4.2 years), Mumbai (5.1 years), with faster returns linked to lower initial investment in adapted technologies.

# 5. Discussion

## 5.1 Technological Adaptation: Beyond "One-Size-Fits-All"

The findings validate Technological Adaptation Theory, showing that SWM success in emerging economies depends on modifying technologies to local conditions. Lagos's repurposed sensors and

Mumbai's low-end app modifications demonstrate that "appropriate technology"—not cutting-edge innovation—drives adoption. This contrasts with high-income models reliant on expensive IoT infrastructure (Kim et al., 2021), highlighting the need for context-specific design.

Notably, adaptations addressed not just technical constraints but also social ones. Mumbai's voice commands for illiterate users and Lagos's SMS alerts (vs. apps) tackled digital divides, aligning with user capabilities (Rogers, 2003). This challenges the assumption that SWM requires universal smartphone access, offering a pathway for low-literacy contexts.

### 5.2 Institutional Barriers: The Role of Policy and Funding

The ICA framework helps explain why Santiago (stronger institutions) achieved faster scaling than Lagos or Mumbai. Chile's 2018 Waste Management Law provided clear targets, while Nigeria's outdated regulations created ambiguity. However, Santiago's funding vulnerability during recessions underscores that policy alone is insufficient—diversified funding (e.g., Mumbai's impact investment) is critical.

Mumbai's experience highlights how institutional fragmentation (15+ agencies) increases transaction costs, echoing Kollikkathara et al. (2022). Successful SWM required "navigators"—CBOs and NGOs that brokered relationships between agencies. This suggests that capacity building should prioritize coordination skills, not just technical training.

### 5.3 Community Engagement: Informal Workers as Partners, Not Obstacles

Social Practice Theory illuminates how community norms shaped SWM adoption. Lagos's framing of waste sorting as communal pride and Mumbai's religious endorsements leveraged existing social capital, proving more effective than purely economic incentives. This aligns with Shove et al. (2012), who argue that behavior change depends on embedding practices in local cultures.

The inclusion of informal workers—long marginalized in waste management—emerged as a key success factor. Lagos and Mumbai's integration models increased both efficiency and equity, countering fears that SWM displaces vulnerable groups (Gutberlet, 2020). Santiago's mixed results (higher wages but reduced autonomy) highlight the need to balance formalization with preserving workers' agency.

### 5.4 Environmental and Economic Synergies

The 22–38% emission reductions and 30–50% cost savings demonstrate that SWM can align environmental and economic goals in emerging economies. These gains exceeded previous estimates for low-income contexts (e.g., 15–20% emission reductions in Ghana; Addo et al., 2021), likely due to informal sector integration, which amplified resource recovery.

The relatively short payback periods (3.5–5.1 years) challenge the myth that SWM is unaffordable for cash-strapped cities. Lagos's low-cost adaptation ($12 sensors) was key, showing that "frugal innovation" can make SWM financially viable without sacrificing impact.

## 6. The Modular SWM Framework

Based on our findings, we propose a Modular SWM Framework for emerging economies, structured around three adaptable modules that can be combined based on local capacities (Figure 1).

### 6.1 Module 1: Low-Cost Monitoring

Components: Repurposed sensors (e.g., Lagos's car-part sensors), SMS alerts, or mobile apps for low-end phones.

Low digital infrastructure, high informality (e.g., Lagos, Kampala).

Implementation Steps:

(1) Train local technicians to build/repair sensors.

(2) Partner with telecoms for discounted SMS rates.

(3) Pilot in 2–3 neighborhoods before scaling.

### 6.2 Module 2: Inclusive Collection

Components: Hybrid formal-informal teams, CBO-managed sorting hubs, and blockchain/digital tokens for incentives.

c: High informal sector presence (e.g., Mumbai, Jakarta).

Implementation Steps:

(1) Map existing informal networks (e.g., waste picker routes).

(2) Co-design schedules with workers to avoid displacement.

(3) Integrate with local stores for token redemption.

### 6.3 Module 3: Data-Driven Optimization

Components: AI routing (adapted for local traffic), public dashboards, and PPPs for data management.

Applicable scenarios: Moderate institutional capacity (e.g., Santiago, Kuala Lumpur).

Implementation Steps:

(1) Collaborate with local universities to adapt algorithms.

(2) Ensure data privacy safeguards (e.g., anonymization).

(3) Link to regulatory targets (e.g., Chile's 50% recycling mandate).

### 6.4 Cross-Cutting Enablers

Policy: Amend laws to recognize informal workers; create clear SWM targets.

Funding: Mix micro-grants, impact investment, and "pay-as-you-throw" schemes.

Capacity: Train stakeholders in digital literacy, conflict resolution, and data analysis.

## 7. Limitations and Future Research

### 7.1 Limitations

Geographic Scope: Focus on three cities may not capture rural-urban peripheries, where waste challenges differ.

Time Horizon: 5-year data may not reflect long-term maintenance costs (e.g., sensor degradation).

Causality: Environmental improvements (e.g., reduced malaria) could be influenced by other interventions (e.g., bed net distribution).

### 7.2 Future Research

Longitudinal Studies: Track SWM durability over 10+ years, focusing on maintenance and adaptation.

Rural-Urban Comparisons: Explore how the Modular Framework applies to peri-urban areas (e.g., Lagos's suburbs).

Gender Analysis: Investigate how SWM affects women's workloads (e.g., time spent on sorting vs. income gains).

Policy Experiments: Test regulatory reforms (e.g., informal worker recognition) using randomized controlled trials.

## 8. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that Smart Waste Management is not only feasible in emerging economies but can deliver significant environmental, economic, and social benefits—when adapted to local contexts. Lagos, Santiago, and Mumbai's experiences show that success requires:

(1) Technological Humility: Prioritizing low-cost, repairable solutions over imported high-tech systems.

(2) Institutional Navigation: Working with, not against, fragmented governance structures through CBO intermediaries.

(3) Inclusive Design: Recognizing informal waste workers as partners, not obstacles, to sustainability.

The Modular SWM Framework provides a flexible roadmap for cities at different development stages, emphasizing incremental scaling and local ownership. As emerging economies urbanize, SWM—done right—can transform waste from a public health crisis into a resource, supporting resilient, inclusive cities.

## References

[1] Addo, P., Boateng, E., & Agyemang, E. (2021). Municipal solid waste management in Ghana: A review of practices, challenges, and opportunities. Journal of Environmental Management, 280, 111764.

[2] Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation (BMC). (2021). Annual report on solid waste management. Mumbai: BMC.

[3] Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation (BMC). (2022). Review of 2020 SWM pilot project. Mumbai: BMC.

[4] Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation (BMC). (2023). Blockchain-based waste management: Regulatory challenges. Mumbai: BMC.

[5] Feiock, R. C. (2013). The institutional collective action framework. In Handbook of research on urban governance and policy (pp. 103–119). Edward Elgar Publishing.

[6] Gavriilidis, A., Tsagarakis, K. P., & Papadopoulos, A. I. (2020). A review of smart waste management systems: Technology, monitoring, and optimization. Waste Management, 117, 23–34.

[7] Gutberlet, J. (2020). Informal waste pickers and the circular economy: Inclusion, exclusion, and empowerment in Brazil. Geoforum, 110, 101–109.

[8] Kim, J., Park, S., & Lee, K. (2021). IoT-based waste management system in Seoul: Environmental and economic impacts. Journal of Cleaner Production, 294, 126264.

[9] Kollikkathara, N. K., Brown, M. T., & Lam, S. S. (2022). Barriers to sustainable waste management in developing countries: A systematic review. Waste Management, 139, 190–204.

[10] Lagos State Waste Management Authority (LAWMA). (2020). Evaluation of 2019 IoT bin pilot. Lagos: LAWMA.

[11] Mendez, C., & Gomez, A. (2021). Funding challenges for smart waste management in Chile. Environmental Policy and Governance, 31(4), 321–335.

[12] Mendez, C., & Torres, R. (2020). Social conflicts in Santiago's 2018 SWM pilot. Journal of Latin American Geography, 19(2), 189–210.

[13] Mendez, C., & Torres, R. (2021). Co-designing smart waste systems with informal workers in

Santiago. Habitat International, 114, 102385.

[14] Mendez, C., Rodriguez, S., & Fernandez, L. (2022). Adapting IoT technology to Santiago's climate: Lessons from SmartRecicla. Technology in Society, 68, 101802.

[15] Mendez, C., Sanchez, M., & Diaz, J. (2023). Localizing AI: University-industry collaboration in Chile's waste management. Journal of Cleaner Production, 383, 135478.

[16] Ministry of Environment Chile (MMA). (2022). SmartRecicla PPP evaluation. Santiago: MMA.

[17] National Bureau of Statistics Nigeria. (2021). Digital access survey. Abuja: NBS.

[18] Okafor, A. (2021). Community norms and waste sorting in Lagos. African Geographical Review, 40(3), 245–262.

[19] Sharma, P. (2020). Caste and informal waste work in Mumbai. Economic and Political Weekly, 55(23), 53–59.

[20] Sharma, P., Patel, R., & Singh, N. (2023). Digital Dabbawala: A public-private partnership for smart waste management in Mumbai. Public Administration and Development, 43(2), 112–128.

[21] Shove, E., Pantzar, M., & Watson, M. (2012). The dynamics of social practice: Everyday life and how it changes. Sage Publications.

[22] Tan, C., Lee, S., & Ng, H. (2022). AI-driven route optimization for waste collection in Singapore. Journal of Urban Technology, 29(2), 67–88.

[23] United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat). (2023). World urbanization prospects 2023. Nairobi: UN-Habitat.