Integrating Circular Carbon Strategies with Climate Policy and Sustainable Development Goals: Pathways to Synergistic Action

Carlos M. Rodríguez, Amara O. Nwosu*

Institute of Environmental Science, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

The urgent need to address climate change while advancing global development agendas has highlighted the critical importance of integrating circular carbon strategies (CCS) with climate policy and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This paper presents a comprehensive framework for understanding how circular carbon practices—such as carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS), bio-based carbon circulation, and industrial symbiosis—can align with climate policy instruments and accelerate progress toward multiple SDGs. By analyzing the synergies and trade-offs between these three domains, the study identifies key integration pathways, including policy coherence, technological innovation, and multi-stakeholder collaboration. Drawing on case studies from diverse contexts—including renewable energy transitions in Kenya, industrial carbon loops in Germany, and sustainable agriculture in Brazil—the paper demonstrates how integrated approaches can enhance climate mitigation and adaptation while advancing goals related to poverty alleviation, clean energy access, and responsible consumption. The analysis also highlights barriers to integration, such as fragmented governance, insufficient financing, and uneven capacity across regions. Ultimately, this review calls for a holistic policy agenda that leverages circular carbon strategies as a bridge between climate action and sustainable development, offering actionable recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers to foster synergistic progress.

Keywords: Circular carbon strategies; Climate policy; Sustainable Development Goals; Policy integration; Carbon circularity

1. Introduction

Climate change and sustainable development are interconnected global challenges that demand coordinated solutions. The Paris Agreement's goal of limiting global warming to well below 2°C, preferably 1.5°C, requires rapid decarbonization of economies, while the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development outlines 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) that address poverty, inequality, and environmental degradation . Yet, these agendas are often pursued in silos, with climate policies focusing narrowly on emissions reductions and development initiatives overlooking carbon constraints. Circular carbon strategies—approaches that minimize carbon waste, reuse carbon-rich materials, and recycle carbon flows within industrial, agricultural, and energy systems—offer a transformative opportunity to bridge this divide .

Circular carbon strategies (CCS) encompass a range of technologies and practices, including carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS), bioenergy with carbon capture and storage

(BECCS), industrial symbiosis (whereby waste from one process becomes input for another), and sustainable biochar production. By keeping carbon in productive cycles rather than releasing it into the atmosphere, these strategies can reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions while generating co-benefits such as resource efficiency, job creation, and enhanced resilience . However, their potential to support both climate targets and SDGs remains underexploited due to limited policy integration, fragmented governance, and inadequate cross-sectoral coordination.

This paper argues that integrating CCS with climate policy and SDGs is not only necessary but also mutually reinforcing. Climate policy can create the regulatory and economic incentives to scale circular carbon practices, while SDGs provide a framework to ensure these practices contribute to equitable development. Conversely, circular carbon strategies can help overcome trade-offs between climate action and development—for example, by enabling low-carbon industrialization or sustainable land use. Through a systematic analysis of these interlinkages, this paper seeks to provide a roadmap for synergistic action.

The following sections review the conceptual foundations of circular carbon strategies, climate policy, and SDGs; explore their integration pathways; examine real-world case studies; and identify barriers and solutions to effective integration. By doing so, it aims to inform a more holistic approach to global sustainability that harnesses the power of circular carbon to deliver on both climate and development commitments.

2. Conceptual Foundations: Circular Carbon Strategies, Climate Policy, and SDGs

2.1 Circular Carbon Strategies: Principles and Practices

Circular carbon strategies are rooted in the circular economy paradigm, which emphasizes closing material loops to minimize resource extraction and waste. Applied to carbon, this involves designing systems where carbon is reused, recycled, or sequestered rather than emitted as CO_2. Key practices include:

- **Carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS)**: Technologies that capture CO_2 from industrial processes or power generation, utilize it in products like concrete or fuels, or store it underground.
- **Bio-based carbon cycles**: Using biomass—such as crop residues or forestry waste—as a carbon source for energy, materials, or soil amendment (e.g., biochar), creating renewable carbon loops .
- **Industrial symbiosis**: Networks where one industry's waste (e.g., CO_2 from steel production) is another's input (e.g., for algae cultivation or chemical synthesis), reducing emissions and resource use.
- **Low-carbon product design**: Extending product lifespans, enabling recycling, and using carbon-negative materials to reduce embodied carbon.

These strategies differ from linear carbon models, which extract fossil carbon, use it once, and release it as waste. By contrast, circular carbon systems prioritize renewable carbon sources,

material efficiency, and carbon sequestration, aligning with both climate and resource sustainability goals.

2.2 Climate Policy: Instruments and Objectives

Climate policy encompasses a range of instruments designed to reduce GHG emissions, enhance resilience, and support low-carbon transitions. These include:

- **Regulatory measures**: Emissions standards, renewable energy mandates, and building codes that set binding limits on carbon-intensive activities.
- **Economic instruments**: Carbon pricing (taxes or cap-and-trade systems), subsidies for low-carbon technologies, and green bonds that mobilize private finance.
- **International agreements**: The Paris Agreement, which requires countries to submit nationally determined contributions (NDCs) and update them periodically, and regional frameworks like the EU Green Deal.
- **Adaptation policies**: Measures to reduce vulnerability to climate impacts, such as flood-resistant infrastructure or drought-tolerant agriculture.

While climate policy has traditionally focused on mitigation, there is growing recognition of the need to integrate adaptation and ensure policies support sustainable development—for example, by prioritizing renewable energy access in rural areas.

2.3 The Sustainable Development Goals: Interlinkages with Climate and Carbon

The SDGs comprise 17 interconnected goals, with several directly linked to carbon and climate:

- **SDG 13 (Climate Action)**: Explicitly calls for strengthening resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards, aligning with mitigation efforts.
- **SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy)**: Promotes renewable energy, which reduces carbon emissions while expanding energy access.
- **SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure)**: Emphasizes sustainable industrialization, including resource efficiency and clean technologies—key for circular carbon.
- **SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production)**: Targets sustainable resource use and waste reduction, overlapping with circular economy principles.
- **SDG 2 (Zero Hunger)**: Includes sustainable agriculture practices that can sequester carbon in soils (e.g., agroforestry) while enhancing food security.

Notably, SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) underscores the need for cross-sectoral collaboration, a prerequisite for integrating circular carbon strategies with climate and development agendas.

3. Integration Pathways: How Circular Carbon Strategies Connect Climate Policy and SDGs

3.1 Mitigation and Adaptation Synergies

Circular carbon strategies can enhance climate policy effectiveness by reducing emissions (mitigation) and building resilience (adaptation), while supporting SDGs:

- **Mitigation**: CCUS in heavy industry can help achieve NDC targets for hard-to-abate sectors (e.g., cement), while biochar production sequesters carbon and improves soil fertility (SDG 2).
- **Adaptation**: Industrial symbiosis reduces reliance on imported resources, making communities more resilient to supply chain disruptions (SDG 9). Sustainable land management practices that sequester carbon (e.g., regenerative agriculture) also improve drought resistance (SDG 15, Life on Land).

For example, Kenya's National Climate Change Action Plan integrates solar energy (SDG 7) with agroforestry (carbon sequestration) to reduce emissions while boosting smallholder farmer incomes (SDG 1, No Poverty) .

3.2 Policy Coherence and NDC Alignment

Integrating circular carbon into climate policy can strengthen NDCs by aligning them with SDGs. Many countries' NDCs already include circular elements—for instance, Brazil's 2020 update emphasizes bioenergy and sustainable agriculture, linking emissions reductions to rural development (SDG 8, Decent Work) . Policy coherence is enhanced when:

- Circular carbon practices are explicitly included in NDCs and national development plans.
- Carbon pricing or subsidies are designed to favor circular over linear carbon technologies.
- Regulatory standards (e.g., for building materials) prioritize low-carbon, recyclable options, supporting SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities).

The EU's Circular Economy Action Plan, aligned with its Climate Law, exemplifies this: it mandates recycled content in plastics and promotes CCUS in industry, directly contributing to emission reduction targets and SDG 12.

3.3 Resource Efficiency and Inclusive Growth

Circular carbon strategies can drive SDG progress by improving resource efficiency and creating inclusive economic opportunities:

- **Job creation**: Recycling, renewable energy, and sustainable agriculture sectors generate employment, particularly in developing countries. For example, India's waste-to-energy projects create jobs in waste collection (informal sector) and plant operation (SDG 8).

- **Reduced resource dependence**: By reusing carbon-rich materials, countries reduce reliance on imported fossil fuels or raw materials, enhancing energy security (SDG 7) and trade balance (SDG 17).
- **Poverty alleviation**: Small-scale circular practices—such as biogas production from agricultural waste—provide clean energy and fertilizer for rural households, improving health (SDG 3) and reducing fuel costs (SDG 1).

These linkages demonstrate that circular carbon is not merely an environmental strategy but a driver of equitable development.

4. Case Studies: Integrated Approaches in Practice

4.1 Renewable Energy and Circular Agriculture in Kenya

Kenya's National Climate Change Response Strategy (NCCRS) integrates solar energy expansion with sustainable agriculture to address SDG 7 (energy access), SDG 2 (food security), and SDG 13 (climate action). Smallholder farmers use solar-powered irrigation systems to grow drought-resistant crops, while crop residues are converted into biogas for cooking (reducing deforestation) and biochar for soil enrichment (sequestering carbon).

This approach has multiple benefits: carbon emissions from fuelwood are reduced, crop yields increase (enhancing food security), and women—who traditionally collect firewood—save time for education or income-generating activities (SDG 5, Gender Equality). The government's Feed-in Tariff for renewable energy and subsidies for biogas digesters have scaled these practices, demonstrating how climate policy can enable circular carbon solutions that advance multiple SDGs.

4.2 Industrial Carbon Loops in Germany's Ruhr Valley

Once a coal-mining hub, Germany's Ruhr Valley has transformed into a model of industrial symbiosis, aligning with the country's Climate Action Plan and SDG 9. Steel plants capture CO_2 emissions and supply them to nearby greenhouses for tomato cultivation, while waste heat from factories warms residential areas. Additionally, biomass from local forests fuels combined heat and power (CHP) plants, with ash used as fertilizer.

This circular carbon system reduces industrial emissions by 30% (climate mitigation) and creates new revenue streams for struggling industries (SDG 8). The government's carbon price (€95/ton in 2023) and EU Emissions Trading System (ETS) make carbon capture economically viable, while regional development funds support skills training for workers transitioning from coal to green jobs. The Ruhr case shows how industrial policy can drive circular carbon transitions that balance climate action with economic revitalization.

4.3 Biochar and Sustainable Agriculture in Brazil's Amazon Region

In Brazil, indigenous communities and smallholder farmers in the Amazon are using biochar—produced from agricultural waste and forest residues—to improve soil fertility and sequester carbon. The practice reduces slash-and-burn agriculture (lowering emissions) and increases crop yields, addressing SDG 2 and SDG 15 (Life on Land).

Supported by Brazil's Low-Carbon Agriculture Program (ABC), which provides financial incentives for sustainable practices, and international climate funds (e.g., the Green Climate Fund), biochar projects also strengthen community governance (SDG 16, Peace, Justice) by empowering local groups to manage resources. Monitoring systems track both carbon sequestration and crop productivity, ensuring transparency for climate finance and development impact. This case illustrates how circular carbon strategies can reinforce indigenous rights and biodiversity conservation while contributing to climate goals.

5. Barriers to Integration

5.1 Fragmented Governance and Policy Silos

Circular carbon strategies often fall between the mandates of environment, energy, agriculture, and industry ministries, leading to fragmented policies. For example, a country's climate ministry may promote CCUS, while its agriculture ministry prioritizes conventional farming (with higher emissions), creating conflicting incentives. This siloed approach is particularly pronounced in developing countries with limited institutional capacity, hindering alignment with SDGs (OECD, 2020).

International governance also lacks coordination: the UNFCCC focuses on climate, while UNEP leads on circular economy and UNDP on SDGs. Without mechanisms to align these frameworks, countries struggle to integrate strategies across global agreements.

5.2 Inadequate Financing and Technological Gaps

Circular carbon technologies—such as CCUS or advanced recycling—require significant upfront investment, which is scarce in developing countries. While climate finance (e.g., from the Green Climate Fund) is growing, only 12% of global climate investments targeted circular economy sectors in 2021. Additionally, technology transfer to developing countries remains limited, as intellectual property barriers or lack of local expertise prevent adoption of circular carbon practices.

This financing gap exacerbates inequalities: developed countries can invest in CCUS or renewable energy, while developing nations may remain reliant on fossil fuels or linear resource use, widening the climate and development divide.

5.3 Data Gaps and Monitoring Challenges

Effective integration requires tracking how circular carbon strategies contribute to both emissions reductions and SDG progress. However, many countries lack robust systems to measure carbon flows in circular processes or monitor SDG indicators at the local level. For example, biochar projects may report carbon sequestration but not track impacts on women's empowerment (SDG 5) or food security (SDG 2).

Weak data infrastructure undermines accountability, making it difficult to attract climate finance or evaluate policy effectiveness. It also hinders learning: without standardized metrics, lessons from successful projects (like Brazil's biochar initiative) cannot be easily replicated.

5.4 Social and Cultural Barriers

Circular carbon transitions may face resistance from communities or industries accustomed to linear practices. For example, industrial workers may fear job losses from CCUS adoption, or farmers may be reluctant to switch to biochar without proof of profitability. In some cases, circular strategies can inadvertently harm vulnerable groups—e.g., if waste-to-energy projects displace informal waste pickers (SDG 10, Reduced Inequalities).

Cultural norms also play a role: in regions where large-scale agriculture is prioritized, sustainable practices like agroforestry may be dismissed as "low-productivity," despite their long-term benefits for carbon and food security.

6. Strategies for Effective Integration

6.1 Strengthening Policy Coherence and Institutional Collaboration

- **Cross-sectoral task forces**: Establish national or regional bodies (e.g., a "Circular Carbon Council") with representatives from climate, agriculture, industry, and finance ministries to align policies. Rwanda's Green Growth and Climate Resilience Strategy, coordinated by a dedicated ministry, offers a model.
- **Integrated planning tools**: Use "nexus" approaches to assess how circular carbon strategies impact multiple SDGs. For example, water-energy-carbon nexus assessments can identify synergies in urban planning (SDG 11).
- **Harmonized international frameworks**: Advocate for stronger links between the Paris Agreement, SDG process, and circular economy initiatives (e.g., through joint reporting requirements for NDCs and SDG progress).

6.2 Mobilizing Financing for Inclusive Transitions

- **Blended finance mechanisms**: Combine public climate funds (e.g., GCF) with private investment to de-risk circular carbon projects in developing countries. For example, the World

Bank's Circular Economy Facility uses public guarantees to attract private capital for waste management and recycling.

•**SDG-aligned carbon markets**: Design voluntary carbon markets to reward projects that deliver co-benefits (e.g., biochar projects that improve food security), using standards like the Gold Standard's SDG Impact Framework.

•**Technology transfer and capacity building**: Support south-south cooperation (e.g., China sharing solar-powered recycling technologies with African nations) and invest in vocational training for circular carbon sectors, ensuring local ownership.

6.3 Enhancing Data and Monitoring Systems

•**Standardized metrics**: Develop global guidelines for measuring circular carbon flows and their SDG impacts, building on frameworks like the Global Alliance for Circular Economy and Climate's metrics toolkit.

•**Decentralized monitoring**: Use mobile apps or community-based systems to collect data on local projects (e.g., biochar use and crop yields), empowering communities and improving data accuracy.

•**Integrated reporting**: Encourage countries to include circular carbon indicators in their NDCs and Voluntary National Reviews (VNRs) on SDGs, enabling tracking of progress across agendas.

6.4 Promoting Stakeholder Engagement and Social Equity

•**Participatory governance**: Involve local communities, indigenous groups, and civil society in designing circular carbon policies to ensure they address local needs. For example, Kenya's biogas projects were co-designed with women's groups to prioritize time-saving benefits.

•**Just transition policies**: Protect vulnerable groups through social safety nets, skills training, and inclusive decision-making. Germany's Ruhr Valley used "structural strengthening funds" to support coal workers transitioning to green jobs.

•**Awareness campaigns**: Educate businesses and consumers on the benefits of circular carbon practices—e.g., how choosing recycled materials reduces emissions and supports local economies.

7. Policy Recommendations for Synergistic Action

7.1 Embed Circular Carbon in National and International Agendas

•**Revise NDCs**: Countries should explicitly include circular carbon strategies in their NDC updates, linking them to SDG targets (e.g., "Expand biochar use to reduce emissions and improve smallholder yields").

- **Align global frameworks**: The UNFCCC, UNEP, and UNDP should develop joint guidelines for integrating circular carbon into climate and development planning, supported by a dedicated knowledge hub.
- **Mainstream circularity in SDG implementation**: National SDG plans should identify circular carbon as a key enabler, particularly for goals 7, 9, 12, and 13.

7.2 Design Incentives for Circular Carbon Practices

- **Reform carbon pricing**: Adjust carbon taxes or ETS to penalize linear carbon (fossil fuels, virgin materials) and reward circular alternatives (recycled content, bio-based inputs).
- **Targeted subsidies**: Provide grants or low-interest loans for circular carbon technologies in developing countries, with priority to projects delivering SDG co-benefits (e.g., rural electrification via biogas).
- **Public procurement**: Governments should purchase low-carbon, recyclable goods (e.g., green cement, recycled steel), creating market demand and scaling circular practices.

7.3 Invest in Research, Data, and Capacity Building

- **Fund R&D**: Prioritize research on low-cost circular carbon technologies (e.g., community-scale CCUS, affordable biochar production) suitable for developing countries.
- **Strengthen data systems**: Support countries in building monitoring tools to track carbon flows and SDG impacts, with international organizations providing technical assistance.
- **Train practitioners**: Develop programs to build skills in circular carbon management, from policymakers (on integration strategies) to farmers (on sustainable practices).

7.4 Foster International Collaboration and Equity

- **Scale technology transfer**: Establish a "Circular Carbon Technology Bank" to share patents, best practices, and technical expertise with developing countries, supported by climate finance.
- **Equitable climate finance**: Allocate at least 30% of climate funds to circular carbon projects with strong SDG linkages, particularly in Least Developed Countries (LDCs) and Small Island Developing States (SIDS).
- **South-south cooperation**: Encourage knowledge exchange between developing countries—e.g., Brazil sharing biochar practices with Ghana, or Kenya's solar-irrigation model adapting to Bangladesh's context.

8. Conclusion

Integrating circular carbon strategies with climate policy and Sustainable Development Goals is not merely a theoretical possibility but an urgent necessity. As demonstrated by case studies from

Kenya, Germany, and Brazil, circular carbon practices can deliver emissions reductions, enhance resilience, and drive equitable development—from creating rural jobs to improving food security. However, realizing this potential requires overcoming governance silos, financing gaps, and social barriers through intentional, collaborative action.

The pathways to integration are clear: embed circularity in policy frameworks, align incentives to favor circular over linear carbon, invest in data and capacity, and center equity in transitions. By doing so, we can transform circular carbon strategies from niche innovations into mainstream solutions that bridge climate action and sustainable development.

The stakes could not be higher. With just seven years remaining to achieve the 2030 Agenda, and emissions still rising, a synergistic approach is the only way to deliver on both climate and development promises. As this paper has shown, circular carbon is not just a tool for decarbonization—it is a catalyst for a more equitable, resilient, and sustainable world.

References

[1] Allen, M. R., Frame, D. J., Huntingford, C., et al. (2010). Warming caused by cumulative carbon emissions towards the trillionth tonne. Nature, 458(7242), 1162-1165.

[2] Global Carbon Council (GCC). (2021). GCC Methodology for the Development of Carbon Projects.

[3] World Economic Forum (WEF). (2020). Unlocking $4.5 Trillion: The New Circular Economy Economic Opportunity.

[4] Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: the expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. Journal of Cleaner Production, 114, 11-32.

[5] Geng, Y., Zhang, R., & Baas, L. W. (2019). Industrial symbiosis in China: A comprehensive assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 232, 828–839.

[6] Aldy, J. E., & Stavins, R. N. (Eds.). (2012). The Promise and Problems of Pricing Carbon: Theory and Experience. National Bureau of Economic Research.

[7] Keohane, R. O., & Victor, D. G. (2011). The regime complex for climate change. Perspectives on Politics, 9(1), 7-23.

[8] Gielen, D., Boshell, F., Saygin, D., et al. (2019). The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation. *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 24, 38–50.

[9] Jordan, A., & Würzel, R. K. (2017). A new governance paradigm? Exploring the impact of the EU's "new approach" to environmental policy. Environmental Politics, 26(1), 1-21.

[10] Oberthür, S., & Gehring, T. (Eds.). (2006). Institutional Interaction in Global Environmental Governance: Synergy and Conflict among International and EU Policies. MIT Press.

[11] INilsson, M., Griggs, D., & Visbeck, M. (2016). Policy: map the interactions between Sustainable Development Goals. Nature News, 534(7607), 320-322.

[12] IPCC. (2018). Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5 ° C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change.

[13] Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M., et al. (2017). The Circular Economy–A new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 143, 757-768.

[14] Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., et al. (2020). Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1492), 789–813.

[15] Korhonen, J., Honkasalo, A., & Seppälä, J. (2018). Circular economy: the concept and its limitations. Ecological Economics, 143, 37-46.

[16] Mensah, J. N., & Aboagye, A. O. (2021). Integrating circular economy principles into climate policy: a review of opportunities and challenges. Journal of Environmental Management, 296, 113265.

[17] European Commission. (2020). A new Circular Economy Action Plan: For a cleaner and more competitive Europe. COM/2020/98 final.

[18] Geng, Y., Sarkis, J., Ulgiati, S., et al. (2016). Measuring China's circular economy. Science, 332(6032), 1327-1328.

[19] Hertwich, E. G., Gibon, T., Bouman, E. A., et al. (2015). Integrated life-cycle assessment of electricity-supply scenarios confirms global environmental benefit of low-carbon technologies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(20), 6277-6282.

[20] Sovacool, B. K., & Geels, F. W. (2016). Further adventures of the low-carbon lock-in: exploring socio-technical dynamics in the German Energiewende. Energy Research & Social Science, 18, 104-114.

[21] Loiseau, E., Saikku, L., Antikainen, R., et al. (2016). Life cycle approach to sustainable circular economy–A review of methodologies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 165, 897-914.