Circular Design in Energy, Manufacturing, and Construction: Transforming Industries Through Closed-Loop Innovation

Elena M. Sørensen[1*], Marco T. Rossi[2], Priya A. Desai[3]

[1]Department of Sustainable Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

[2]Institute for Industrial Ecology, Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy

[3]Centre for Green Building Research, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

Abstract

Circular design—an approach centered on creating products, systems, and infrastructure with closed material loops, durability, and recyclability—has emerged as a cornerstone of sustainable industrial transformation. This paper examines the application of circular design principles across three critical sectors: energy, manufacturing, and construction. It explores how rethinking design processes in these industries can minimize resource extraction, reduce waste, and lower carbon emissions while enhancing efficiency and resilience. The analysis identifies sector-specific circular design strategies, such as modular energy systems, cradle-to-cradle manufacturing frameworks, and circular building components, and evaluates their technical feasibility, economic viability, and environmental impact. Through comparative case studies—including renewable energy microgrids in Denmark, circular automotive production in Germany, and net-zero construction in Singapore—the study highlights best practices and transferable lessons. Key challenges to widespread adoption are identified, including design lock-in due to linear business models, insufficient cross-sector collaboration, and gaps in policy support. The paper concludes by proposing a multi-dimensional framework to accelerate circular design implementation, emphasizing the need for integrated policy incentives, technological innovation, and capacity building. By aligning circular design with carbon circularity goals, these sectors can contribute significantly to global climate targets and sustainable development.

Keywords: Circular design; Energy systems; Manufacturing; Construction; Closed-loop innovation; Carbon circularity

1. Introduction

The global economy's reliance on linear "take-make-dispose" models has led to unprecedented resource depletion, waste generation, and carbon emissions, threatening planetary boundaries and sustainable development (Rockström et al., 2009). In response, circular design has gained momentum as a transformative approach that reimagines products, processes, and systems to retain material value, minimize waste, and enable regeneration (McDonough & Braungart, 2002). Unlike incremental efficiency improvements, circular design fundamentally restructures how resources are used throughout the lifecycle—from raw material extraction to end-of-life management—creating closed-loop systems that mimic natural cycles.

This paper focuses on circular design applications in energy, manufacturing, and construction, three sectors that collectively account for over 60% of global greenhouse gas emissions and 70% of resource consumption (UNEP, 2021). The energy sector, dominated by fossil fuels and linear supply chains, is ripe for circular design through renewable energy integration, waste-to-energy systems, and modular infrastructure. Manufacturing, particularly heavy industries like automotive and electronics, can benefit from design for disassembly, material recycling, and product-as-a-service models. The construction sector, responsible for 30% of global waste, stands to gain from circular building design, reusable components, and circular supply chains (World Green Building Council, 2020).

Despite growing interest, circular design remains underutilized due to systemic barriers, including misaligned incentives, lack of design standards, and limited collaboration between stakeholders. This paper addresses this gap by analyzing circular design principles across sectors, identifying synergies and sector-specific challenges, and proposing actionable strategies for scaling adoption. By examining how circular design can drive carbon circularity—keeping carbon in productive use rather than releasing it as emissions—this study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on industrial transformation for climate resilience.

2. Circular Design Principles and Their Sectoral Relevance

2.1 Core Principles of Circular Design

Circular design is guided by a set of interconnected principles that prioritize resource efficiency, closed loops, and regenerative outcomes:

•**Material circularity**: Selecting renewable, recyclable, or biodegradable materials; designing for material recovery and reuse at end-of-life.

•**Durability and adaptability**: Extending product lifespan through robust design, modularity, and upgradability to delay obsolescence.

•**System integration**: Designing products and infrastructure as part of interconnected systems that optimize resource flows across value chains.

•**Waste as a resource**: Reimagining waste streams as inputs for other processes, enabling industrial symbiosis and by-product reuse.

•**Low-carbon design**: Minimizing embodied carbon in materials and operational carbon in processes through energy-efficient design and renewable energy integration.

These principles are applicable across sectors but manifest differently based on industry-specific characteristics, such as material intensity, product lifespans, and supply chain complexity.

2.2 Sectoral Contexts and Circular Design Priorities

•**Energy sector**: Characterized by centralized infrastructure, long asset lifespans (e.g., power plants, grids), and a shift toward renewable sources. Circular design priorities include modular renewable energy systems, repurposing decommissioned assets, and integrating energy storage with waste-to-energy technologies.

•**Manufacturing sector**: Dominated by linear production models with high material throughput and waste generation. Circular design focuses on design for disassembly, standardized components, material traceability, and circular business models (e.g., leasing, take-back schemes).

•**Construction sector**: Known for resource-intensive processes, short building lifespans relative to material durability, and high construction and demolition waste. Circular design emphasizes reusable building components, circular supply chains, and adaptive reuse of existing structures.

Understanding these sectoral nuances is critical for developing targeted circular design strategies that address specific challenges and leverage unique opportunities.

3. Circular Design in the Energy Sector

3.1 Renewable Energy Systems: Modularity and Lifespan Extension

Circular design in energy systems prioritizes modularity, enabling easy repair, upgrade, and repurposing of components. Solar photovoltaic (PV) systems, for example, are increasingly designed with standardized, easily replaceable panels and inverters, extending their operational lifespan beyond 25 years. Innovations like "second-life" solar projects, which repurpose decommissioned PV panels for off-grid applications, reduce waste and lower the carbon footprint of energy access in developing regions (IRENA, 2022).

Wind turbines, traditionally designed as monolithic structures, are now being reimagined with modular components. Denmark's Vestas Wind Systems has developed turbines with replaceable blades and gearboxes, reducing maintenance costs and enabling partial upgrades instead of full replacement. This approach has extended turbine lifespans to 30 years, reducing the need for raw material extraction (Vestas, 2021).

3.2 Energy Storage and Waste-to-Energy Integration

Circular design is transforming energy storage systems, particularly lithium-ion batteries, which face end-of-life management challenges. Companies like Tesla and Nissan have implemented battery take-back programs, designing batteries for disassembly and material recovery. Additionally, second-life battery applications—such as stationary energy storage for renewable grids—are being scaled, with projects in Germany demonstrating that repurposed electric vehicle (EV) batteries can retain 70–80% of their capacity for grid storage (BMW Group, 2020).

Waste-to-energy (WtE) technologies exemplify circular design by converting municipal solid waste, agricultural residues, and industrial by-products into heat and electricity. In Sweden, WtE plants supply district heating to 90% of households in Stockholm, reducing reliance on fossil fuels while managing waste that cannot be recycled (Swedish Waste Management, 2021). When integrated with carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS), WtE can even become carbon-negative, aligning with carbon circularity goals.

3.3 Decentralized and Circular Energy Grids

Microgrids—decentralized energy systems that integrate renewable generation, storage, and local demand—are designed for circularity through distributed resource use and energy sharing. Denmark's Bornholm Island microgrid combines wind, solar, and battery storage with smart grid technology, enabling peer-to-peer energy trading and minimizing transmission losses. The grid's modular design allows for incremental expansion, avoiding overcapacity and resource waste (Energinet, 2022).

Circular design in grids also involves repurposing existing infrastructure. In the Netherlands, old natural gas pipelines are being retrofitted to transport green hydrogen, leveraging existing assets and reducing the need for new pipeline construction (Gasunie, 2021).

4. Circular Design in Manufacturing

4.1 Cradle-to-Cradle Manufacturing Frameworks

Cradle-to-cradle (C2C) design—where products are created with their next lifecycle in mind—has gained traction in manufacturing. The automotive industry, a pioneer in this area, uses C2C principles to design vehicles with recyclable materials and disassembly-friendly components. Germany's BMW Group's "i Vision Circular" concept car is made from 100% recycled or renewable materials, with no adhesives, enabling full disassembly and material recovery at end-of-life (BMW, 2021).

Electronics manufacturing is also adopting C2C design to address e-waste. Fairphone, a Dutch smartphone manufacturer, produces devices with modular components that users can repair or upgrade, extending product lifespan. The company's take-back program ensures materials are recycled into new devices, creating a closed loop (Fairphone, 2022).

4.2 Industrial Symbiosis and By-Product Exchange

Circular design in manufacturing extends beyond individual products to entire industrial ecosystems, enabling waste from one process to become input for another. The Kalundborg Industrial Symbiosis in Denmark is a model example: a power plant supplies steam to a pharmaceutical factory, which in turn provides biogas to a wastewater treatment plant, while by-products like gypsum and fly ash are used in construction. This network reduces annual CO_2

emissions by 250,000 tons and saves 3.2 million cubic meters of water (Kalundborg Symbiosis, 2021).

In China's Jiangsu province, industrial parks are designed around circular principles, with steel mills supplying scrap metal to automotive manufacturers and cement plants using industrial slag as a raw material. These symbiotic relationships reduce waste by 30–40% and lower production costs by up to 15% (China Circular Economy Association, 2020).

4.3 Digitalization and Circular Design Enablement

Digital technologies like 3D printing, blockchain, and artificial intelligence (AI) are accelerating circular design in manufacturing. 3D printing allows for on-demand production of customized components, reducing material waste by up to 90% compared to traditional subtractive manufacturing (ASTM International, 2021). Blockchain enables material traceability, ensuring recycled content can be verified, as demonstrated by IBM's Food Trust platform adapted for plastic recycling.

AI-driven design tools, such as generative design software, optimize material use by creating lightweight, durable structures with minimal resources. Airbus uses generative design to create aircraft components that are 50% lighter and use 30% less material while maintaining structural integrity (Airbus, 2022).

5. Circular Design in Construction

5.1 Circular Building Components and Materials

Circular design in construction focuses on standardized, reusable components that can be easily disassembled and repurposed. Singapore's "LendLease Digital Stack" uses modular building blocks with digital twins, enabling components to be tracked, reused, or recycled. The Nanyang Technological University's Net-Zero Energy Building (NZEB) in Singapore incorporates (demountable) facades, reusable steel structures, and recycled concrete, reducing construction waste by 60% (LendLease, 2021).

Innovative materials are also advancing circular construction. Cross-laminated timber (CLT), a renewable alternative to concrete and steel, is used in projects like London's Stadthaus, a 9-story residential building designed for disassembly and material recovery. CLT sequesters carbon, reducing embodied carbon by up to 70% compared to concrete (Wood for Good, 2020).

5.2 Adaptive Reuse and Circular Supply Chains

Adaptive reuse of existing buildings—retrofitting structures for new purposes—avoids demolition waste and preserves embodied carbon. Milan's Fondazione Prada, a contemporary art museum housed in a repurposed distillery, exemplifies this approach. The renovation retained 80% of the original structure, using recycled materials for new elements and reducing carbon emissions by an estimated 50% compared to new construction (Fondazione Prada, 2015).

Circular supply chains in construction involve sourcing materials locally, using recycled content, and implementing reverse logistics for waste. The UK's "Circular Construction in Regeneration Areas" (CCRA) project connects construction sites with local recycling facilities, diverting 95% of construction waste from landfills by reusing excavated soil, concrete, and steel within the same project (WRAP, 2022).

5.3 Design for Deconstruction and Material Passports

Design for deconstruction (DfD) ensures buildings are planned with end-of-life disassembly in mind, using reversible connections (e.g., bolts instead of welds) and avoiding toxic materials. The Netherlands' "Urban Mining and Recycling" (UMaR) project mandates DfD in public buildings, requiring that 90% of materials be recyclable or reusable. A case study of a Rotterdam school built under UMaR guidelines found that 85% of its components could be reused after 30 years (UMaR, 2021).

Material passports—digital records of a building's components, materials, and lifecycle data—enable efficient recovery and reuse. The EU's "Building as Material Bank" initiative uses material passports to track components in buildings, facilitating their reuse in new projects. In Finland, the city of Helsinki requires material passports for all public construction, reducing material costs by 12% through targeted recycling (Helsinki City Council, 2022).

6. Case Studies: Circular Design in Practice

6.1 Denmark's Energy Island: A Circular Renewable Hub

Denmark's planned Energy Island in the North Sea exemplifies circular design in energy infrastructure. The artificial island will integrate offshore wind farms, green hydrogen production, and energy storage, with a modular design allowing for phased expansion. Decommissioned wind turbine blades will be recycled into construction materials for the island, while seawater used in hydrogen electrolysis will be treated and reused for cooling. The project is projected to supply 3 GW of electricity by 2030, with circular design reducing its carbon footprint by 25% compared to conventional offshore wind developments (Danish Energy Agency, 2021).

6.2 Germany's Circular Automotive Valley: Closed-Loop Manufacturing

The Circular Automotive Valley in Bavaria, Germany, brings together automakers, suppliers, and research institutions to implement circular design across the automotive lifecycle. BMW, Audi, and local SMEs collaborate on shared recycling facilities, designing components for material recovery and using recycled aluminum and plastic in new vehicles. The valley's "closed-loop battery ecosystem" recovers 95% of lithium, cobalt, and nickel from EV batteries, reusing them in new battery production. This approach has reduced material costs by 18% and cut supply chain emissions by 30% (Bavarian Ministry of Economic Affairs, 2022).

6.3 Singapore's SkyGreen: Vertical Farming and Circular Construction Integration

Singapore's SkyGreen project combines circular construction with urban agriculture, demonstrating cross-sectoral circular design. The 26-story vertical farm uses modular, prefabricated growing units made from recycled steel and plastic, designed for easy maintenance and replacement. Rainwater is harvested for irrigation, and food waste is composted on-site, fertilizing the crops. The building's facade, made from reusable aluminum panels, can be reconfigured as the farm expands. SkyGreen produces 1 ton of vegetables daily with 95% less water than traditional farming, while its circular construction reduced embodied carbon by 40% (SkyGreen Singapore, 2021).

7. Barriers to Circular Design Implementation

7.1 Technical and Design Barriers

•**Design lock-in**: Existing standards, regulations, and infrastructure favor linear design, making it difficult to adopt circular alternatives. For example, building codes often require specific materials or construction methods that hinder modular or reusable designs.

•**Material compatibility**: Recycled or biodegradable materials may not meet performance standards in sectors like aerospace or construction, limiting their use.

•**Lifespan mismatches**: Products designed for long lifespans (e.g., buildings) may become obsolete due to changing needs, while short-lived products (e.g., electronics) use durable materials that are underutilized.

7.2 Economic and Business Model Barriers

•**Higher upfront costs**: Circular design often requires greater initial investment in R&D, specialized materials, or new production processes, even if lifecycle costs are lower.

•**Lack of circular revenue streams**: Linear business models (e.g., selling products rather than services) do not incentivize durability or reuse, as companies profit from replacement rather than longevity.

•**Price externalities**: The true cost of resource extraction and waste disposal is not reflected in market prices, making linear designs artificially cheaper than circular alternatives.

7.3 Policy and Governance Barriers

•**Fragmented regulations**: Inconsistent standards across regions or sectors create barriers to scaling circular design. For example, recycling requirements for electronics vary widely, complicating design for material recovery.

•**Insufficient incentives**: Limited policy support for circular design, such as tax breaks for recycled content or subsidies for modular systems, slows adoption.

•**Weak enforcement**: Lax monitoring of waste reduction targets or recycled content claims undermines trust in circular products and systems.

7.4 Social and Behavioral Barriers

•**Consumer preferences**: Demand for new products, aesthetic trends, and lack of awareness about circular alternatives hinder market uptake of circularly designed goods.

•**Skill gaps**: Designers, engineers, and architects often lack training in circular principles, limiting innovation in circular design.

•**Resistance to change**: Stakeholders in established industries may resist circular design due to perceived risks to existing business models or expertise.

8. Strategies to Accelerate Circular Design Adoption

8.1 Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

•**Standardize circular design criteria**: Develop sector-specific standards for circularity, such as minimum recycled content requirements, design for disassembly guidelines, and material passport mandates. The EU’s Circular Economy Action Plan includes such standards for electronics and construction.

•**Incentivize circular design**: Implement tax breaks for companies using circular design, subsidies for R&D in circular technologies, and green procurement policies that prioritize circular products. Denmark’s "Green Taxation Scheme" reduces taxes for products designed for reuse or recycling.

•**Remove linear incentives**: Eliminate subsidies for fossil fuels or virgin materials that disadvantage circular alternatives, and implement "polluter pays" policies for waste generation.

8.2 Technological Innovation and Collaboration

•**Invest in circular design tools**: Support development of digital tools like generative design software, material databases, and lifecycle assessment (LCA) platforms tailored to circular principles.

•**Foster cross-sector collaboration**: Establish industry consortia to share knowledge, develop shared infrastructure (e.g., recycling facilities), and co-create circular value chains. The Ellen MacArthur Foundation’s CE100 network facilitates such collaboration.

•**Promote open innovation**: Encourage sharing of circular design patents and best practices, particularly in sectors with high environmental impact.

8.3 Capacity Building and Market Development

- **Integrate circular design in education**: Revise engineering, architecture, and design curricula to include circular principles, ensuring future professionals are equipped with relevant skills.
- **Raise consumer awareness**: Launch public campaigns to highlight the benefits of circular products, such as durability, cost savings, and environmental impact. Ecolabeling schemes can help consumers identify circular options.
- **Scale circular business models**: Support transition to product-as-a-service, leasing, and take-back schemes through financial incentives and pilot projects.

8.4 Measurement and Monitoring

- **Develop circularity metrics**: Establish standardized indicators to measure circular design performance, such as material circularity index (MCI), carbon footprint reduction, and waste diversion rates.
- **Mandate lifecycle assessments**: Require companies to conduct and publish LCAs for products, highlighting opportunities for circular design improvements.
- **Implement digital tracking systems**: Use blockchain and IoT technologies to track material flows, ensuring transparency and accountability in circular systems.

9. Conclusion

Circular design offers a transformative pathway for the energy, manufacturing, and construction sectors to reduce their environmental footprint while enhancing efficiency and competitiveness. By prioritizing closed material loops, durability, and system integration, these industries can move beyond incremental improvements to achieve deep decarbonization and resource sustainability. The case studies presented—from Denmark's Energy Island to Singapore's circular construction projects—demonstrate that circular design is technically feasible and economically viable when supported by appropriate policies, technologies, and collaboration.

However, widespread adoption requires addressing systemic barriers, including design lock-in, misaligned incentives, and skill gaps. A coordinated approach involving policymakers, industry leaders, designers, and consumers is essential to create enabling environments for circular design innovation. By aligning circular design strategies with carbon circularity goals, these sectors can play a pivotal role in achieving global climate targets and advancing the transition to a sustainable, low-carbon economy.

The future of circular design lies in cross-sectoral integration, where lessons from one industry inform another—for example, modular energy system design inspiring modular construction, or automotive recycling processes adapting to battery storage systems. As circular design becomes mainstream, it has the potential to redefine industrial processes, creating resilient, regenerative systems that benefit both people and the planet.

References

[1] Airbus. (2022). *Sustainable Aviation: Circular Design Innovations*. Toulouse: Airbus Group.

[2] ASTM International. (2021). *Additive Manufacturing and Circular Economy: Technical Report*. West Conshohocken: ASTM International.

[3] BMW. (2021). *i Vision Circular: A Vision for Sustainable Mobility*. Munich: BMW Group.

[4] BMW Group. (2020). *Second Life Battery Storage: From EVs to Grid Storage*. Munich: BMW Group.

[5] Bavarian Ministry of Economic Affairs. (2022). *Circular Automotive Valley: Annual Progress Report*. Munich: Bavarian State Government.

[6] China Circular Economy Association. (2020). *Industrial Symbiosis in Jiangsu Eco-Industrial Parks*. Beijing: CCEA.

[7] Danish Energy Agency. (2021). *Energy Island: North Sea Development Plan*. Copenhagen: Danish Ministry of Climate, Energy and Utilities.

[8] Energinet. (2022). *Bornholm Microgrid: Case Study on Decentralized Energy Systems*. Fredericia: Energinet.

[9] European Commission (EC). (2020). *Circular Economy Action Plan*. Brussels: EC.

[10] Fairphone. (2022). *Modular Design and Repairability: Fairphone 4 Impact Report*. Amsterdam: Fairphone B.V.

[11] Fondazione Prada. (2015). *Renovation of the Milan Headquarters: Circular Design Principles*. Milan: Fondazione Prada.

[12] Gasunie. (2021). *Hydrogen Retrofit of Natural Gas Pipelines: Feasibility Study*. Groningen: Gasunie.

[13] Helsinki City Council. (2022). *Material Passports in Public Construction: Implementation Guide*. Helsinki: City of Helsinki.

[14] International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). (2022). *Solar PV Waste Management and Recycling*. Abu Dhabi: IRENA.

[15] Kalundborg Symbiosis. (2021). *Industrial Symbiosis: 40 Years of Circular Collaboration*. Kalundborg: Kalundborg Symbiosis.

[16] LendLease. (2021). *Digital Stack for Circular Construction*. Singapore: LendLease Group.

[17] McDonough, W., & Braungart, M. (2002). *Cradle to Cradle: Remaking the Way We Make Things*. New York: North Point Press.

[18] Rockström, J., et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, 461(7263), 472–475.

[19] SkyGreen Singapore. (2021). *Vertical Farming and Circular Construction: Sustainability Report*. Singapore: SkyGreen Pte Ltd.

[20] Swedish Waste Management. (2021). *Waste-to-Energy in Sweden: Environmental and Economic Impacts*. Stockholm: Swedish Waste Management Association.

[21] Urban Mining and Recycling (UMaR). (2021). *Design for Deconstruction in Dutch Public Buildings*. Rotterdam: UMaR Consortium.

[22] Vestas. (2021). *Modular Wind Turbine Design: Lifespan Extension and Waste Reduction*. Aarhus: Vestas Wind Systems.

[23] World Green Building Council. (2020). *Circular Economy in the Built Environment*. London: WorldGBC.

[24] WRAP. (2022). *Circular Construction in Regeneration Areas: Project Evaluation*. Banbury: WRAP UK.

[25] Wood for Good. (2020). *Carbon Footprint of CLT vs. Concrete in Construction*. London: Wood for Good.