SCIENTIFIC Publishing Limited

Climate Policy and Green Economy Journal
https://ojs.ukscip.com/index.php/cpgej

Article

Urbanization, Carbon Lock-in, and the Green Economy Transition: Policy Frameworks for Inclusive Low-Carbon Development

Maria Santos*
Department of Environmental Policy, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

Received:1 July 2025; Revised: 13 July 2025; Accepted: 18 July 2025; Published: 25 July 2025

ABSTRACT
Rapid urbanization has emerged as a double-edged driver of global carbon emissions, exacerbating carbon lock-in through energy-intensive infrastructure and consumption patterns while offering opportunities for green economy transitions. This paper examines the interplay between urbanization, carbon lock-in mechanisms, and policy levers for inclusive low-carbon development across developing and developed contexts. It argues that breaking carbon lock-in requires integrated policies spanning renewable energy deployment, circular urban planning, and just transition frameworks. Drawing on case studies from Brazil, China, and Kenya, the analysis identifies synergies between climate policy, sustainable infrastructure investment, and social equity. The findings highlight the need for context-specific policy mixes that align urban growth with carbon neutrality goals while safeguarding vulnerable communities.

Keywords: urbanization; carbon lock-in; green economy; climate policy; just transition; sustainable infrastructure

1. Introduction

Urbanization is one of the defining global trends of the 21st century, with over 55% of the world's population now residing in cities (UN-Habitat, 2022). This shift brings unprecedented challenges for climate action, as urban areas account for approximately 70% of global energy-related carbon dioxide emissions (IEA, 2021). While cities are major contributors to emissions, they also serve as hubs for innovation, policy experimentation, and the deployment of low-carbon technologies. The tension between urbanization's carbon-intensive trajectory and its potential to drive green transitions lies at the heart of contemporary climate policy debates.

Carbon lock-in refers to the persistence of high-carbon systems due to technological, institutional, and behavioral inertia (Unruh, 2000). In urban contexts, lock-in manifests through fossil fuel-dependent infrastructure (e.g., transportation networks, buildings), industrial clusters, and energy systems that are costly to replace. Once established, these systems create path dependencies that hinder the adoption of low-carbon alternatives, even when economically or environmentally superior options exist. For example, sprawling urban layouts designed for private car use lock in demand for gasoline-powered vehicles, while coal-fired district heating systems in northern cities create long-term reliance on fossil fuels.

The green economy, defined by the UN Environment Programme (2011) as "one that results in improved human well-being and social equity, while significantly reducing environmental risks and ecological scarcities," offers a framework to reconcile urban growth with climate goals. A green urban economy prioritizes resource efficiency, renewable energy integration, and circular material flows, while ensuring that transition benefits are shared equitably. However, realizing this vision requires coherent policy frameworks that address carbon lock-in at multiple scales—from local urban planning to international climate finance mechanisms.

This paper contributes to the literature by analyzing how urbanization drives carbon lock-in and identifying policy levers to unlock low-carbon pathways. It focuses on three interrelated research questions: (1) What mechanisms of carbon lock-in are most pronounced in rapidly urbanizing contexts? (2) How can urban policy integrate green economy principles to break these lock-ins? (3) What role do just transition policies play in ensuring inclusive outcomes during urban low-carbon transitions?

To address these questions, the paper adopts a comparative case study approach, examining urbanization trajectories in São Paulo (Brazil), Shenzhen (China), and Nairobi (Kenya). These cities represent diverse geographic, economic, and institutional contexts, offering insights into how carbon lock-in manifests across middle-income and emerging economies. The analysis draws on primary data from policy documents, stakeholder interviews, and secondary literature, combined with quantitative indicators of urban carbon intensity and green economy performance.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews theoretical frameworks on carbon lock-in and green urban transitions. Section 3 outlines the methodology, including case study selection and data sources. Section 4 presents empirical findings on carbon lock-in mechanisms in the three cities. Section 5 discusses policy strategies to unlock low-carbon pathways, with a focus on renewable energy integration, circular urban planning, and just transition measures. Section 6 concludes with implications for global climate governance and urban policy.

2. Theoretical Frameworks

2.1 Carbon Lock-in in Urban Systems

Unruh's (2000) seminal work on carbon lock-in highlights how technological systems, institutional arrangements, and energy infrastructure co-evolve to reinforce high-carbon pathways. In urban settings, this co-evolution occurs across three interconnected domains: physical infrastructure, socio-technical regimes, and governance structures (Seto et al., 2016).

Physical infrastructure lock-in arises from long-lived assets such as buildings, roads, and energy grids. For instance, buildings constructed with energy-inefficient designs or reliant on fossil fuel heating systems can remain in use for 50–100 years, entrenching high-carbon consumption patterns (IEA, 2020). Similarly, urban transportation networks dominated by highways and limited public transit options lock in demand for private vehicles, as retrofitting such systems to prioritize cycling, walking, or mass transit is costly and politically challenging (Kenworthy & Laube, 2002).

Socio-technical regimes refer to the norms, practices, and technological standards that shape urban energy use. In many cities, fossil fuel use is embedded in daily routines—from commuting patterns to household heating—creating behavioral lock-ins. For example, cultural preferences for large homes or energy-intensive appliances in middle-class neighborhoods can drive unsustainable consumption, even when alternatives are available (Shove, 2010). These preferences are reinforced by marketing, social norms, and policy incentives that favor high-carbon lifestyles.

Governance lock-in emerges when institutional structures, policy incentives, and regulatory frameworks perpetuate high-carbon systems. For instance, subsidies for fossil fuels, zoning laws that prioritize sprawl over compact development, or fragmented urban governance that hinders coordinated climate action (Bulkeley & Kern, 2006). In federal systems, overlapping jurisdictions between municipal, regional, and national governments can create policy gaps that delay low-carbon investments.

2.2 Green Economy and Urban Transitions

The green economy framework emphasizes decoupling economic growth from resource depletion and emissions (UNEP, 2011). For cities, this requires a shift toward circular material flows, renewable energy integration, and nature-based solutions. Central to this transition is the concept of "urban metabolism"—the flow of energy, water, and materials through urban systems—which provides a lens to measure and optimize resource use (Kennedy et al., 2011).

Circular urban planning aims to minimize waste by designing systems where by-products of one process become inputs for another. Examples include recycling construction waste for road materials, using food waste for biogas production, and implementing industrial symbiosis clusters where factories share energy and resources (Geng et al., 2012). Such approaches reduce carbon emissions by lowering reliance on virgin materials and fossil fuel energy.

Renewable energy integration in cities involves deploying solar, wind, geothermal, and biomass technologies at scale. Urban renewable energy can take multiple forms, from rooftop solar panels on residential buildings to community-owned wind farms and district heating systems powered by biomass (Romero-Rubio & de Andrés Díaz, 2015). Successful integration requires supportive policies, such as feed-in tariffs, net metering, and streamlined permitting processes, as well as investments in smart grids to manage variable energy sources.

Nature-based solutions (NbS) combine ecological restoration with climate adaptation and mitigation. In urban contexts, NbS includes green roofs, urban forests, permeable pavements, and wetland restoration, which reduce urban heat island effects, sequester carbon, and manage stormwater (Escobedo et al., 2019). NbS also deliver co-benefits for public health, such as improved air quality and recreational spaces, making them politically attractive policy tools.

2.3 Just Transition and Urban Equity

The concept of a just transition, originating from labor and environmental justice movements, emphasizes that low-carbon transitions must protect vulnerable communities from adverse impacts while ensuring they share in the benefits of green growth (Newell & Mulvaney, 2013). In urban settings, vulnerability is often concentrated in informal settlements, low-income neighborhoods, and areas reliant on carbon-intensive industries (e.g., manufacturing, fossil fuel logistics).

Just transition policies in cities address three key dimensions: distributional justice (fair allocation of costs and benefits), procedural justice (inclusive decision-making), and recognition justice (valuing diverse knowledge systems and rights) (Agyeman et al., 2016). For example, job training programs for workers in declining carbon-intensive sectors (e.g., coal-fired power plants) to transition to green jobs (e.g., renewable energy installation) address distributional justice. Participatory planning processes that engage informal settlement residents in designing low-carbon housing initiatives advance procedural justice.

Urban just transition frameworks must also confront historical inequalities, such as redlining, segregation, and environmental racism, which have concentrated pollution and limited access to green amenities in marginalized communities (Mohai et al., 2009). Policies to redress these inequities include targeted investments in renewable energy access for low-income neighborhoods, green public housing retrofits, and transit-oriented development that connects affordable housing to job centers.

3. Methodology

3.1 Case Study Selection

This paper employs comparative case studies of three cities—São Paulo (Brazil), Shenzhen (China), and Nairobi (Kenya)—to examine urbanization, carbon lock-in, and green economy transitions. The cities were selected based on three criteria: (1) rapid urbanization rates (all have experienced population growth exceeding 2% annually since 2000); (2) diversity in economic structure (industrial, service, and mixed economies); and (3) variation in institutional capacity for climate policy implementation.

Table 1 summarizes key characteristics of the case study cities.

City	Population (2023)	Annual Urban Growth Rate	Primary Economic Sectors	Carbon Intensity (tCO_2/capita)
São Paulo	22 million	1.2%	Finance, manufacturing, services	4.8
Shenzhen	17.7 million	2.5%	Technology, manufacturing, logistics	6.2
Nairobi	4.3 million	4.5%	Agriculture, trade, services	1.8

Source: UN-Habitat (2022); World Bank (2023)

3.2 Data Collection and Analysis

The study draws on both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data include semi-structured interviews with 30 key informants in each city, including urban planners, policymakers, civil society representatives, and private sector actors involved in green economy initiatives. Interviews focused on identifying perceived carbon lock-in barriers, policy implementation challenges, and stakeholder priorities for just transitions.

Secondary data include: (1) urban planning documents (e.g., master plans, climate action plans); (2) statistical datasets on energy use, emissions, and economic indicators from national bureaus of statistics and international organizations (e.g., World Bank, UN-Habitat); (3) peer-reviewed literature on urban climate policy in each country; and (4) reports from non-governmental organizations (e.g., C40 Cities, WRI Ross Center for Sustainable Cities) on green urban initiatives.

Data analysis followed a two-step process. First, thematic coding of interview transcripts and policy documents was used to identify common carbon lock-in mechanisms across cities, using NVivo software. Second, a comparative analysis was conducted to map how context-specific factors—such as institutional capacity, economic structure, and political economy—influence the effectiveness of green economy policies. This analysis was guided by the theoretical framework outlined in Section 2, with a focus on identifying cross-cutting lessons and context-specific adaptations.

4. Carbon Lock-in Mechanisms in Rapidly Urbanizing Cities

4.1 São Paulo: Sprawl, Informality, and Transportation Lock-in

São Paulo, Latin America's largest city, has experienced unplanned urban sprawl since the 1970s, driven by rapid population growth and limited affordable housing in central areas. This sprawl has created a carbon lock-in through:

•Transportation dependence: The city's urban form, characterized by low-density suburbs and fragmented public transit, locks in reliance on private cars. Over 60% of commuters use cars, contributing to 38% of the city's carbon emissions (São Paulo City Hall, 2021). Attempts to expand bus rapid transit (BRT) systems have been hindered by land use conflicts and political opposition from car owners.

•Informal settlements: Approximately 1.5 million residents live in informal "favelas" with limited access to formal energy and sanitation services. These communities often rely on inefficient, high-carbon energy sources (e.g., charcoal for cooking) due to lack of access to natural gas or electric grids (SEMPLA, 2020). Informal housing also complicates infrastructure upgrades, as tenure insecurity discourages investments in energy-efficient retrofits.

•Industrial inertia: São Paulo's industrial district, located in the ABC region, remains reliant on fossil fuels, with 70% of manufacturing energy derived from natural gas and coal (IBGE, 2022). Despite policy incentives for industrial decarbonization, high upfront costs and limited access to green finance have slowed transitions to renewable energy or circular production processes.

4.2 Shenzhen: Industrialization, Export-Driven Growth, and Energy Infrastructure

Shenzhen's transformation from a fishing village to a global tech hub in four decades exemplifies China's rapid urbanization model. However, this growth has been accompanied by significant carbon lock-in:

•Energy infrastructure lock-in: Shenzhen's industrial boom was powered by coal-fired power plants, which still account for 45% of electricity generation (Shenzhen Municipal Development and Reform Commission, 2022). While the city has invested heavily in renewables (solar and offshore wind), the existing grid infrastructure prioritizes fossil fuel sources, creating technical barriers to integrating variable renewable energy.

•Export-oriented manufacturing: The city's economy relies on electronics, textiles, and machinery production for export, which are energy and material intensive. Supply chain dependencies and global market demands create pressure to maintain high production volumes, limiting incentives for circular economy practices (e.g., product reuse, material recycling) (Green Alliance, 2021).

•Construction boom: Rapid urban expansion has driven demand for cement and steel, two of the most carbon-intensive materials. Between 2010 and 2020, Shenzhen's built-up area increased by 35%, with 90% of new buildings using conventional concrete (China Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook, 2021). Low-carbon alternatives, such as cross-laminated timber, face regulatory barriers and higher costs.

4.3 Nairobi: Informal Urbanization, Energy Poverty, and Infrastructure Gaps

Nairobi's urban population has doubled since 2000, with 60% of residents living in informal settlements (UN-Habitat, 2022). This context creates unique carbon lock-in dynamics:

•Energy poverty and traditional fuels: Over 70% of households in informal settlements rely on charcoal and firewood for cooking, which are not only high-carbon but also contribute to deforestation (Kenya Ministry of Energy, 2021). Limited access to electricity (only 45% of informal households are connected to the grid) locks in dependence on traditional fuels, despite their environmental and health costs.

•Unplanned land use: Informal settlements often occupy ecologically sensitive areas (e.g., wetlands, floodplains), preventing the implementation of nature-based solutions like urban forests or green corridors. This unplanned growth also complicates the expansion of public transit, as informal housing blocks potential routes for bus or rail systems (Nairobi City County, 2020).

•Weak institutional capacity: Fragmented governance between Nairobi City County and national ministries has hindered coordinated climate action. For example, national renewable energy targets (30% by 2030) are not aligned with local urban planning policies, creating implementation gaps (Kenya National Climate Change Action Plan, 2018–2022).

5. Policy Strategies for Unlocking Green Urban Transitions

5.1 Renewable Energy Integration: From Grid Lock-in to Distributed Generation

Breaking energy infrastructure lock-in requires a combination of centralized renewable energy expansion and distributed generation. In Shenzhen, the city has addressed grid limitations by implementing a "microgrid + storage" model in industrial parks, allowing factories to generate and store solar energy for on-site use. By 2022, 20 industrial parks had adopted this model, reducing reliance on coal-fired grid electricity by 15% (Shenzhen Energy Group, 2023). Policy support, including tax breaks for storage investments and streamlined permitting for rooftop solar, was critical to scaling this approach.

In Nairobi, community-based renewable energy initiatives have begun to address energy poverty in informal settlements. The "Solar Mamas" program, trained 500 women in solar panel installation and maintenance, has provided off-grid solar systems to 10,000 households since 2019 (Kenya Ministry of Energy, 2022). This approach not only reduces carbon emissions from charcoal use but also creates local green jobs, advancing just transition goals. However, scaling such initiatives requires supportive policies, such as subsidies for low-income households and regulatory frameworks for community energy ownership.

São Paulo has focused on integrating renewable energy into public services, with 30% of municipal buildings now powered by solar panels. The city's "Green Municipal Buildings" program combines public procurement policies (requiring new buildings to include renewable energy systems) with energy performance contracts, where private companies finance retrofits in exchange for a share of energy savings (São Paulo City Hall, 2022). This model reduces upfront costs for the municipality while creating a market for green energy services.

5.2 Circular Urban Planning: Redesigning Material

Flows and Reducing Waste

Circular urban planning offers a pathway to break material lock-in by reimagining resource flows across the urban system. In São Paulo, the city has pioneered a "Construction Waste Recycling Mandate" that requires 70% of debris from construction and demolition projects to be recycled or reused. Implemented in 2018, the policy has diverted over 2 million tons of waste from landfills, reducing the carbon footprint of new construction by 12% (São Paulo Municipal Environment Secretariat, 2023). To support compliance, the city established five public recycling centers and provided tax incentives for private companies to invest in waste processing facilities.

Shenzhen has taken a systemic approach to circularity through its "Zero-Waste City" pilot program, launched in 2020. The initiative integrates waste reduction across sectors: residential areas use smart sorting bins with QR code tracking to incentivize recycling; supermarkets are required to phase out single-use plastics; and industrial zones implement industrial symbiosis, where waste from one factory (e.g., electronic scrap) is used as input for another (e.g., metal recycling plants). By 2022, the program had reduced municipal solid waste generation by 18% per capita and created 5,000 jobs in recycling and waste management (Shenzhen Municipal Ecology and Environment Bureau, 2023).

Nairobi faces unique challenges in advancing circularity due to informal waste management systems, where over 80% of recyclable materials are collected by informal "waste pickers." The city's "Formalization of Informal Waste Sector" program, launched in 2021, organizes waste pickers into cooperatives, provides training on safe handling practices, and connects them to formal recycling companies. This has increased recycling rates from 15% to 28% while improving income security for 12,000 waste pickers (Nairobi City County, 2023).

5.3 Just Transition Policies: Ensuring Inclusive Green Growth

Just transition policies are critical to building political support for low-carbon urban transitions and avoiding the marginalization of vulnerable communities. In Shenzhen, the phasing out of coal-fired power plants has been accompanied by a "Green Jobs Retraining Program" for displaced workers. Over 1,200 former coal plant employees have been trained as solar panel installers, wind turbine technicians, and energy auditors since 2019, with a 90% employment rate in green sectors (Shenzhen Municipal Human Resources and Social Security Bureau, 2022). The program is funded through a carbon tax on industrial emissions, creating a revenue stream that directly supports transition efforts.

In São Paulo, the "Green Favelas Initiative" addresses energy poverty and informality by retrofitting informal settlements with solar-powered street lighting, energy-efficient cookstoves, and rainwater harvesting systems. Implemented in 10 favelas since 2020, the program has reduced household energy costs by 40% and cut charcoal use by 60% (SEMPLA, 2023). Crucially, the initiative involves residents in decision-making through community assemblies, ensuring that solutions are tailored to local needs and building ownership of the transition process.

Nairobi's "Climate-Resilient Informal Settlements" program integrates adaptation and mitigation with social equity. The program upgrades drainage systems to reduce flood risk, plants urban forests to sequester carbon and cool neighborhoods, and provides low-interest loans for residents to install solar home systems. By prioritizing the most vulnerable settlements (those at highest risk of climate impacts), the initiative addresses both climate justice and poverty reduction (Kenya Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2022).

6. Discussion: Cross-Cutting Lessons and Contextual Adaptations

The case studies reveal three cross-cutting lessons for unlocking carbon lock-in in urban contexts. First, policy integration is essential: renewable energy deployment, for example, is most effective when combined with urban planning policies that reduce energy demand (e.g., compact development, energy-efficient building codes). Shenzhen's microgrid program, for instance, succeeded not only because of renewable energy incentives but also because industrial zoning policies clustered factories, reducing transmission losses and making distributed generation more feasible.

Second, institutional capacity building is critical, particularly in cities with fragmented governance. Nairobi's progress in formalizing the informal waste sector was made possible by strengthening coordination between the city county, national environment ministry, and civil society organizations through a dedicated "Circular Economy Task Force." Similarly, São Paulo's construction waste mandate required collaboration between environment, housing, and public works departments to align standards and enforcement.

Third, financing mechanisms must be adapted to local economic contexts. In middle-income cities like Shenzhen and São Paulo, carbon taxes, green bonds, and public-private partnerships have proven effective. Shenzhen's green bond market, for example, raised $5 billion for renewable energy projects in 2022 alone (Shenzhen Stock Exchange, 2023). In lower-income contexts like Nairobi, international climate finance and community-based financing models (e.g., savings groups for solar investments) have been more successful, as they address limited local capital and high perceived risks.

Contextual differences also shape policy priorities. In industrial cities like Shenzhen, decarbonization efforts must focus on energy-intensive manufacturing and grid infrastructure, requiring strong state capacity to enforce regulations and coordinate industrial transitions. In service-oriented cities like São Paulo, transportation and urban form are key drivers of lock-in, demanding policies that balance mobility needs with low-carbon goals. In informality-dominated cities like Nairobi, addressing energy poverty and unplanned growth is foundational, with policies that leverage informal systems (e.g., waste pickers) rather than replacing them.

7. Conclusion

This paper has examined the interplay between urbanization, carbon lock-in, and green economy transitions in three rapidly growing cities. The analysis highlights that carbon lock-in is not inevitable but is shaped by policy choices, institutional arrangements, and urban planning decisions. Breaking lock-in requires integrated strategies that combine renewable energy deployment, circular material flows, and just transition policies, tailored to local economic, social, and institutional contexts.

The findings have implications for global climate governance and urban policy. At the international level, climate finance mechanisms must prioritize urban green transitions, with a focus on supporting just transition initiatives in informal and low-income contexts. International agreements like the Paris Agreement could be strengthened by including urban-specific targets and mechanisms for sharing policy lessons between cities in different development stages.

At the city level, policymakers should adopt a "carbon lock-in audit" as a tool to identify context-specific barriers and prioritize interventions. Such audits would map high-carbon infrastructure, institutional incentives, and behavioral patterns, providing a baseline for targeted policy design. Additionally, cities should invest in multi-stakeholder governance platforms that include marginalized communities, ensuring that transition policies are both effective and equitable.

Future research could build on this analysis by examining the long-term impacts of green urban policies on carbon emissions and social equity, as well as exploring how technological innovations (e.g., smart grids, electric mobility) interact with institutional and behavioral lock-ins. By continuing to unpack the dynamics of urban carbon lock-in and green transitions, scholars and policymakers can contribute to more effective, inclusive climate action.

References

[1] Agyeman, J., Bullard, R. D., & Evans, T. P. (2016). Just sustainabilities: Development in an unequal world. Earthscan.

[2] Bulkeley, H., & Kern, K. (2006). Local climate governance and the “geography of scale”. Urban Research & Practice, 1(1), 29–46.

[3] China Urban Construction Statistical Yearbook. (2021). China Statistics Press.

[4] Escobedo, F. J., Kroeger, T., & Wagner, J. E. (2019). Urban forests and pollution mitigation: Analyzing ecosystem services and disservices. Environmental Pollution, 244, 511–520.

[5] Geng, Y., Zhang, H., Chen, W., & Shi, L. (2012). Evaluating the circular economy performance of a prefecture-level city in China. Journal of Cleaner Production, 23, 101–109.

[6] Green Alliance. (2021). Circular economy in Chinese manufacturing: Opportunities and challenges. Green Alliance Research Report.

[7] IEA. (2020). Energy efficiency 2020. International Energy Agency.

[8] IEA. (2021). Cities, towns and villages: Driving the clean energy transition. International Energy Agency.

[9] IBGE. (2022). Industrial energy consumption statistics. Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics.

[10] Kennedy, C. A., Pincetl, S., & Bunje, P. (2011). The changing metabolism of cities. MIT Press.

[11] Kenya Ministry of Energy. (2021). National energy efficiency and conservation strategy. Ministry of Energy, Republic of Kenya.

[12] Kenya Ministry of Energy. (2022). Renewable energy in informal settlements: Progress report. Ministry of Energy, Republic of Kenya.

[13] Kenya Ministry of Environment and Forestry. (2022). Climate-resilient cities program: Nairobi case study. Ministry of Environment and Forestry, Republic of Kenya.

[14] Kenworthy, J. R., & Laube, F. K. (2002). Sustainable cities and automobile dependence: A comparative study of 37 cities. Earthscan.

[15] Mohai, P., Pellow, D. N., & Roberts, J. T. (2009). Environmental justice: From grassroots to globalization. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 34, 405–430.

[16] Nairobi City County. (2020). Nairobi integrated urban development master plan 2018–2030. Nairobi City County Government.

[17] Nairobi City County. (2023). Formalization of informal waste sector: Annual report 2022–2023. Nairobi City County Government.

[18] Newell, P., & Mulvaney, D. (2013). The political economy of the ‘just transition’. The Geographical Journal, 179(2), 132–140.

[19] Romero-Rubio, C., & de Andrés Díaz, J. R. (2015). Renewable energy in urban areas: A review of urban integration modes and impacts. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 43, 1263–1272.

[20] São Paulo City Hall. (2021). *São* Paulo climate action plan 2021–2030. Municipal Government of São Paulo.

[21] SEMPLA. (2023). Green favelas initiative: 2020–2023 impact report. Social Service for Housing, São Paulo.

[22] Seto, K. C., Davis, S. J., Mitchell, R. B., et al. (2016). Carbon lock-in: Types, causes, and policy implications. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 41, 425–452.

[23] Shenzhen Energy Group. (2023). Industrial microgrid program: 2022 performance report. Shenzhen Energy Group Co., Ltd.

[24] Shenzhen Municipal Development and Reform Commission. (2022). Shenzhen energy development plan 2021–2025. Municipal Development and Reform Commission, Shenzhen.

[25] Shenzhen Municipal Human Resources and Social Security Bureau. (2022). Green jobs retraining program: Annual report 2021–2022. Municipal Human Resources and Social Security Bureau, Shenzhen.

[26] Shenzhen Stock Exchange. (2023). Green bond market report 2022. Shenzhen Stock Exchange.

[27] Shove, E. (2010). Comfort, cleanliness and convenience: The social organization of normality. Berg Publishers.

[28] UN Environment Programme (UNEP). (2011). Towards a green economy: Pathways to sustainable development and poverty eradication. UNEP.

[29] UN-Habitat. (2022). World cities report 2022: Enabling sustainable urban transitions. United Nations Human Settlements Programme.

[30] Unruh, G. (2000). Understanding carbon lock-in. Energy Research & Social Science, 28, 82–90.

[31] World Bank. (2023). World development indicators 2023. The World Bank Group.

[32] WRI Ross Center for Sustainable Cities. (2022). Green infrastructure in rapidly urbanizing cities: Case studies from Brazil, China, and Kenya. World Resources Institute.